

Re:

ACTION for the annulment of Council Decision 2011/101/CFSP of 15 February 2011 concerning restrictive measures against Zimbabwe (OJ 2011 L 42, p. 6), and of Commission Regulation (EU) No 174/2011 of 23 February 2011 amending Council Regulation (EC) No 314/2004 concerning certain restrictive measures in respect of Zimbabwe (OJ 2011 L 49, p. 23), in so far as they concern the applicant.

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no need to adjudicate on this action.*
2. *The Council of the European Union shall bear, in addition to its own costs, those incurred by Muller Conrad Rautenbach.*
3. *The European Commission shall bear its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 186, 25.6.2011.

Order of the General Court of 5 September 2012 — Farage v Parliament and Buzek

(Case T-564/11) ⁽¹⁾

(Law governing the institutions — Decision of the President of the Parliament imposing on a Member of the Parliament the penalty of forfeiture of entitlement to the daily subsistence allowance for a period of 10 days — Decision of the Committee on Legal Affairs of the Parliament declaring inadmissible the Member's request for defence of his Parliamentary immunity — Manifest lack of jurisdiction of the General Court — Manifest inadmissibility)

(2012/C 331/47)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Nigel Paul Farage (Brussels (Belgium)) (represented by: P. Bennett, solicitor)

Defendants: European Parliament (represented by: N. Lorenz and D. Moore, acting as Agents) and Jerzy Buzek (Brussels (Belgium))

Re:

ACTION for annulment, first, of the decision of the President of the Parliament of 2 March 2010 imposing on the applicant the penalty of forfeiture of entitlement to the daily subsistence allowance for a period of 10 days, secondly, of the decision of the Bureau of the Parliament of 24 March 2010 confirming

the above decision of the President of the Parliament, thirdly, of the decision of the Committee on Legal Affairs of the Parliament declaring inadmissible the applicant's request for defence of immunity and, fourthly, of an unspecified decision of the Parliament.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed.*
2. *Nigel Paul Farage is to bear his own costs and to pay those of the European Parliament.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 25, 28.1.2012.

Order of the General Court of 4 September 2012 — Mische v Parliament

(Case T-642/11 P) ⁽¹⁾

(Appeals — Civil service — Officials — Appointment — Classification in grade — Competition published before the entry into force of the new Staff Regulations of Officials — Distortion of the facts — Appeal manifestly unfounded)

(2012/C 331/48)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Appellant: Harald Mische (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: R. Holland, J. Mische and M. Velardo, lawyers)

Other parties to the proceedings: European Parliament (represented by: S. Seyr and S. Alves, Agents); and Council of the European Union (represented by: A. Jensen and J. Herrmann, Agents)

Re:

Appeal against the judgment of the European Union Civil Service Tribunal (Second Chamber) of 29 September 2011 in Case F-93/05 *Mische v Parliament* [2011] ECR-SC I-A-1-0000 and II-A-1-0000 seeking to have that judgment set aside.

Operative part of the order

1. *The appeal is dismissed.*
2. *Mr Harald Mische shall bear his own costs and those incurred by the European Parliament in the present proceedings.*
3. *The Council of the European Union shall bear its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 49, 18.2.2012.