

*Interveners in support of the defendant:* United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland (represented initially by E. Jenkinson, I. Rao and F. Penlington and subsequently by E. Jenkinson, I. Rao and C. Murrell, acting as Agents, and D. Beard QC, and by the Council of the European Union (represented by M. Bishop and R. Szostak, acting as Agents)

**Re:**

ACTION for the annulment of Commission Regulation (EC) No 77/2009 amending Council Regulation (EC) No 314/2004 concerning certain restrictive measures in respect of Zimbabwe (OJ 2009 L 23, p. 5), as amended by Commission Regulation (EU) No 173/2010 of 25 February 2010 amending Council Regulation (EC) No 314/2004 concerning certain restrictive measures in respect of Zimbabwe (OJ 2010 L 51, p. 13) in so far as it concerns the applicants.

**Operative part of the order**

1. *There is no need to adjudicate on this action.*
2. *The European Commission shall bear, in addition to its own costs, those incurred by John Arnold Bredenkamp, Alpha International (PVT) Ltd, Breco (Asia Pacific) Ltd, Breco (Eastern Europe) Ltd, Breco (South Africa) Ltd, Breco (UK) Ltd, Breco Group, Breco International, Breco Nominees Ltd, Breco Services Ltd, Corybantes Ltd, Echo Delta Holdings, Masters International Ltd, Piedmont (UK) Ltd, Raceview Enterprises, Scottlee Holdings (PVT) Ltd, Scottlee Resorts Ltd, Timpani Exports Ltd and Tremalt Ltd.*
3. *The United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the Council of the European Union shall each bear their own costs.*

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 141, 20.6.2009.

**Order of the General Court of 6 September 2012 — Nickel Institute v Commission**

(Case T-180/10) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Access to documents — Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 — Classification, packaging and labelling of certain nickel carbonate compounds as dangerous substances — Directives 2008/58/EC and 2009/2/EC — 30th and 31st adaptations to technical progress of Directive 67/548/EEC — Partial refusal of access — Action for annulment — No need to adjudicate)*

(2012/C 331/45)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Nickel Institute (Toronto, Canada) (represented by: initially, K. Nordlander, lawyer, and H. Pearson, Solicitor, and, subsequently, K. Nordlander)

*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: P. Oliver and P. Costa de Oliveira, acting as Agents)

*Interveners in support of the applicant:* Republic of Finland (represented by: J. Heliskoski and M. Pere, acting as Agents) and Kingdom of Sweden (represented by: A. Falk, K. Petkovska, C. Meyer-Seitz and S. Johannesson, acting as Agents)

**Re:**

Application for annulment of the decision of the European Commission of 8 February 2010 (reference SG.E3/HP/psi — Ares(2010)65824) concerning the refusal to grant Nickel Institute full access to certain internal documents, in particular to opinions of the Commission's Legal Service, drawn up in the context of two consecutive procedures which resulted in the classification of, inter alia, certain nickel carbonate compounds in Annex I to Council Directive 67/548/EEC of 27 June 1967 on the approximation of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous substances (O), English Special Edition 1967, p. 234).

**Operative part of the order**

1. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.*
2. *The European Commission shall bear its own costs as well as half of the costs incurred by Nickel Institute.*
3. *Nickel Institute shall bear half of its own costs.*
4. *The Republic of Finland and the Kingdom of Sweden shall bear their own respective costs.*

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 161, 19.6.2010.

**Order of the General Court of 6 September 2012 — Rautenbach v Council and Commission**

(Case T-222/11) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Common foreign and security policy — Restrictive measures adopted having regard to the situation in Zimbabwe — Withdrawal from the list of persons concerned — Action for annulment — No need to adjudicate)*

(2012/C 331/46)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Muller Conrad Rautenbach (Harare, Zimbabwe) (represented by: S. Smith QC, M. Lester, Barrister, and W. Osmond, Solicitor)

*Defendants:* Council of the European Union (represented by: B. Driessen and J. Herrmann, acting as Agents) and European Commission (represented by E. Paasivirta, M. Konstantinidis and T. Scharf, acting as Agents)