

Re:

Application for the compensation of damage allegedly resulting from works carried out at the Preševo (Serbia) border crossing under a contract financed by the EAR.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Order Holding kompanija Interspeed a.d to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Commission.

(¹) OJ C 89, 19.3.2011.

Judgment of the General Court of 10 July 2012 — Clorox v OHIM — Industrias Alen (CLORALEX)

(Case T-135/11) (¹)

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for Community word mark CLORALEX — Earlier national word marks CLOROX — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Similarity of the signs — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2012/C 250/25)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: The Clorox Company (Oakland, United States) (represented by: S. Malynicz, Barrister, and A. Chaudri, Solicitor)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: J. Crespo Carrillo, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM: Industrias Alen SA de CV (Nuevo León, Mexico) (represented by: J. Astiz Suárez, lawyer)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 16 December 2010 (Case R 521/2009-4) concerning opposition proceedings between The Clorox Company and Industrias Alen SA de CV.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Annuls the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) of 16 December 2010 (Case R 521/2009-4);
2. Orders OHIM to bear its own costs and pay the costs incurred by The Clorox Company;

3. Orders Industrias Alen SA de CV to bear its own costs.

(¹) OJ C 152, 21.5.2011.

Order of the General Court of 19 June 2012 — Hungary v Commission

(Case T-37/11) (¹)

(Action for annulment — Temporary instrument intended to finance actions at the new external borders of the European Union for the purpose of the implementation of the Schengen acquis and border control (Schengen Facility) — Contribution to Hungary in respect of the period from 2004 to 2006 — Recovery of part of the amount paid — Challengeable act — Inadmissibility)

(2012/C 250/26)

Language of the case: Hungarian

Parties

Applicant: Hungary (represented by: Z. Fehér, K. Szíjjártó and G. Koós, Agents)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: V. Bottka and F. Coudert, Agents)

Re:

Application for partial annulment of debit note No 3241011280 issued by the Commission on 28 October 2010 after the final report on the clearance of the accounts of the Schengen Facility concerning aid paid to Hungary during the period from 2004 to 2006 was sent to Hungary.

Operative part of the order

1. The action is dismissed as inadmissible.
2. Hungary is ordered to pay the costs.

(¹) OJ C 95, 26.3.2011.

Order of the General Court of 21 June 2012 — Hamas v Council

(Case T-531/11) (¹)

(Annulment action — Restrictive measures with a view to combating terrorism — Lis pendens)

(2012/C 250/27)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Hamas (Damascus, Syria and Gaza, Gaza Strip) (represented by: L. Glock, lawyer)