

**Order of the General Court (the judge hearing the application for interim measures) of 13 June 2012 — Morison Menon Chartered Accountants and Others v Council**

(Case T-656/11 R II)

(Application for interim measures — No need to adjudicate)

(2012/C 227/36)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

*Applicants:* Morison Menon Chartered Accountants and Others (Dubai, United Arab Emirates); Morison Menon Chartered Accountants — Dubai Office (Dubai); Morison Menon Chartered Accountants — Sharjah Office (Sharjah, United Arab Emirates) (represented by: H. Viaene, T. Ruys and D. Gillet, lawyers)

*Defendant:* Council of the European Union (represented by: M.-M. Joséphidès and S. Kyriakopoulou, Agents)

**Re:**

APPLICATION for the suspension of operation of, first, Council Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1245/2011 of 1 December 2011 implementing Regulation (EU) No 961/2010 on restrictive measures against Iran (OJ 2011 L 319, p. 11), and second, Council Decision 2011/783/CFSP of 1 December 2011 amending Decision 2010/413/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Iran (OJ 2011 L 319, p. 71), to the extent that they add to the list of persons and entities whose funds and economic resources are to be frozen the entity designated as 'Morison Menon Chartered Accountant'.

**Operative part of the order**

1. *There is no need to adjudicate on the application for interim measures.*
2. *The costs are reserved.*

**Order of the General Court of 12 June 2012 — Strack v Commission**

(Case T-65/12 P) <sup>(1)</sup>

(Appeal — Civil service — Officials — Order of referral — Decision which cannot be the object of an appeal — Appeal manifestly inadmissible)

(2012/C 227/37)

Language of the case: German

**Parties**

*Appellant:* Guido Strack (Cologne, Germany) (represented by: H. Tettenborn, lawyer)

*Other party to the proceedings:* European Commission (represented by: H. Krämer and B. Eggers, Agents)

**Re:**

Appeal brought against the order of the Civil Service Tribunal of the European Union (Second Chamber) of 7 December 2011 in Case F-44/05 RENV *Strack v Commission* (not published in the ECR), and seeking that that order be quashed.

**Operative part of the order**

1. *The appeal is dismissed.*
2. *Mr Guido Strack is to bear his own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Commission in the present case.*

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 118, 21.4.2012.

**Action brought on 21 May 2012 — Ålands Industrihus v Commission**

(Case T-212/12)

(2012/C 227/38)

Language of the case: Swedish

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Ålands Industrihus (Mariehamn, Finland) (represented by: L. Laitinen, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Commission

**Form of order sought**

- Annul Commission Decision C 6/2008 of 13 July 2011 on measures implemented by the Regional Government of Åland in favour of Ålands Industrihus Ab, and
- Order the Commission to pay the costs of the proceedings.

**Pleas in law and main arguments**

In support of the action, the applicant relies on seven pleas in law.

1. First plea in law, alleging incorrect application of Article 107(1) TFEU — not State aid
  - The applicant claims that the injection of capital and loan guarantees do not constitute State aid since the aid has not distorted competition to the extent that it affects trade between Member States. The Commission has made a clearly incorrect assessment of the facts of the case, in particular by finding that there is no absolute obstacle to foreign undertakings carrying out their activity in Åland and, indeed, no obstacle which prevents them from investing in the local property market.