

**Operative part of the order**

1. *The application for revision is dismissed as inadmissible.*
2. *Each party shall bear its own costs.*

---

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 82, 14.4.2007.

**Order of the General Court of 24 April 2012 — El Fatmi v Council**

(Joined Cases T-76/07, T-362/07 and T-409/08) (<sup>1</sup>)

*(Common foreign and security policy — Restrictive measures with a view to combating terrorism — Withdrawal from the list of persons concerned — Action for annulment — No need to adjudicate)*

(2012/C 174/35)

Language of the case: Dutch

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Nouriddin El Fatmi (Vught, Netherlands) (represented by G. Pulles and A. M. van Eik (Cases T-76/07, T-362/07 and T-409/08), J. Pauw (Cases T-76/07 and T-362/07) and M. Uiterwaal (T-76/07), lawyers)

*Defendant:* Council of the European Union (represented initially by G. J. Van Hegelsom and E. Finnegan (T-76/07 and T-362/07), then by B. Driessen and E. Finnegan (T-76/07, T-362/07 and T-409/08), agents)

*Interveners in support of the defendant:* Kingdom of the Netherlands (represented initially by C. Wissels, M. de Mol and Y. de Vries, and by M. de Grave (Case T-76/07), then by C. Wissels, M. Bulterman and J. Langer, agents); and European Commission (represented by S. Boelaert and P. van Nuffel, and, initially, by J. Aquilina (Case T-76/07), agents)

**Re:**

In essence, application for annulment of Council Decision 2006/1008/EC of 21 December 2006 implementing Article 2(3) of Regulation (EC) No 2580/2001 on specific restrictive measures directed against certain persons and entities with a view to combating terrorism (OJ 2006 L 379, p. 123), replaced successively by Council Decisions 2007/445/EC of 28 June 2007 (OJ 2007 L 169, p. 58), 2007/868/EC of 20 December 2007 (OJ 2007 L 340, p. 100), 2008/583/EC of 15 July 2008 (OJ 2008 L 188, p. 21), 2009/62/EC of 26 January 2009 (OJ 2009 L 23, p. 25), Council Regulation (EC) No 501/2009 of 15 June 2009 (OJ 2009 L 151, p. 14), Council Implementing Regulations (EU) No 1285/2009 of 22 December 2009 (OJ 2009 L 346, p. 39), (EU) No 610/2010 of 12 July 2010 (OJ 2010 L 178, p. 1), (EU) No 83/2011 of 31 January 2011 (OJ 2011 L 28, p. 14), and (EU) No 687/2011 of 18 July 2011 (OJ 2011 L 188, p. 2), in so far as the applicant is named in the list of persons, groups and entities subject to

Council Regulation (EC) No 2580/2001 of 27 December 2001 on specific restrictive measures directed against certain persons and entities with a view to combating terrorism (OJ 2001 L 344, p. 1).

**Operative part of the order**

*The General Court orders:*

1. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.*
2. *The Council of the European Union shall pay the costs.*
3. *The European Commission and the Kingdom of the Netherlands shall bear their own costs.*

---

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 117, 26.5.2007.

**Order of the General Court of 20 April 2012 — Pachtitis v Commission**

(Case T-374/07) (<sup>1</sup>)

*(Civil service — Recruitment — Open competition — Rejection of an application seeking to obtain a copy of the questions and answers to the admission tests — Lack of competence of the General Court — Referral to the Civil Service Tribunal)*

(2012/C 174/36)

Language of the case: Greek

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Dimitrios Pachtitis (Athens, Greece) (represented by: initially P. Giatagantzidis and V. Niagkou, then P. Giatagantzidis and S. Stavropoulou, then P. Giatagantzidis and K. Kyriazi, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: J. Currall and I. Chatzigiannis, acting as Agents)

*Interveners in support of the applicant:* Hellenic Republic (represented by: E.-M. Mamouna and K. Boskovits, acting as Agents); Kingdom of Sweden (represented by A. Falk and S. Johannesson, acting as Agents); and European Data Protection Supervisor (EDPS) (represented by: H. Hijmans, acting as Agent)

**Re:**

Application for annulment, first, of the decision of the European Personnel Selection Office (EPSO) of 27 June 2007, rejecting an application from the applicant seeking to obtain access to the questions which had been put to him in the context of his participation in the open competition EPSO/AD/77/06, to the answers which he had given to those questions and the sheet of correct answers, and, second, of the implied rejection of the confirmatory application which he had made on 10 July 2007 to EPSO.

**Operative part of the order**

1. *Case T-374/07 is referred back to the European Union Civil Service Tribunal.*