Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 19 July 2010 (Case R 1804/2008-4), relating to opposition proceedings between María Constantina Sotelo Ares and Cooperativa Vitivinícola Arousana, S. Coop. Galega.

Operative part of the judgment

The General Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- Orders Cooperativa Vitivinícola Arousana, S. Coop. Galega to pay the costs.

(1) OJ C 301, 6.11.2010.

Order of the General Court of 22 September 2011 — Athinaïki Techniki v Commission

(Case T-94/05 RENV II) (1)

(State aid — Complaint — Decision to take no further action on the complaint — Referral back to the General Court after setting aside — No need to adjudicate)

(2011/C 340/40)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Athinaïki Techniki AE (Athens, Greece) (represented by: S. Pappas, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: D. Triantafyllou, Agent)

Intervener in support of the defendant: Athens Resort Casino AE Symmetochon (Marousi, Greece) (represented by: P. Spyropoulos, lawyer)

Re:

Application for annulment of the Commission's decision of 2 December 2004 to take no further action on the applicant's complaint relating to alleged State aid granted by the Hellenic Republic to the Hyatt Regency consortium in connection with the 'Mont Parnes Casino' public contract.

Operative part of the order

- 1. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the present action.
- 2. The European Commission shall bear its own costs and half of the costs incurred by Athinaïki Techniki AE.
- 3. Athinaïki Techniki shall bear half of its own costs.
- 4. Athens Resort Casino AE Symmetochon shall bear its own costs.

(1) OJ C 106, 30.4.2005.

Order of the General Court of 21 September 2011 — Internationaler Hilfsfonds v Commission

(Case T-141/05 RENV) (1)

(Access to documents — Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 — Documents relating to contract LIEN 97/2011 — Refusal of access — Fresh examination in the course of proceedings — Bringing of a separate action — Disappearance of the interest in bringing proceedings — No need to adjudicate)

(2011/C 340/41)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Internationaler Hilfsfonds e.V. (Rosbach, Germany) (represented by: H. Kaltenecker, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: P. Costa de Oliveira and T. Scharf, acting as Agents)

Re:

Annulment of the decision of the Commission of 14 February 2005, refusing Internationaler Hilfsfonds full access to the file relating to the contract 'LIEN 97-2011'

Operative part of the order

- There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the form of order sought by Internationaler Hilfsfonds e.V. seeking annulment of the decision of the European Commission of 14 February 2005 refusing its request for access to the file relating to the contract LIEN 97-2011.
- Internationaler Hilfsfonds shall bear its own costs and pay those incurred by the Commission.

(1) OJ C 143, 11.6.2005.

Order of the General Court of 19 September 2011 — Matkompaniet v OHIM — DF World of Spices (KATOZ)

(Case T-195/09) (1)

(Community trade mark — Opposition — Withdrawal of the opposition — No need to adjudicate)

(2011/C 340/42)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Matkompaniet AB (Borås, Sweden) (represented by: J. Gulliksson and J. Olson, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: D. Botis, acting as Agent)