

Order of the General Court of 30 June 2011 — Al Saadi v Commission

(Case T-4/10) ⁽¹⁾

(Death of the applicant — Proceedings not resumed by the successors — No need to adjudicate)

(2011/C 282/36)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Faraj Faraj Hassan Al Saadi (Leicester, United Kingdom) (represented by J. Jones, Barrister and M. Arani, Solicitor)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by M. Konstantinidis, T. Scharf and E. Paasivirta, Agents)

Interveners in support of the defendant: Council of the European Union (represented by R. Szostak and E. Finnegan, Agents); Italian Republic (represented initially by G. Palmieri, and subsequently by G. Albenzio, lawyers); and French Republic (represented by G. de Bergues, E. Belliard and L. Butel, Agents)

Re:

APPLICATION for the annulment in part of Commission Regulation (EC) No 954/2009 of 13 October 2009 amending for the 114th time Council Regulation (EC) No 881/2002 of 27 May 2002, imposing certain specific restrictive measures directed against certain persons and entities associated with Usama bin Laden, the Al-Qaida network and the Taliban, in so far as the applicant appears on the list of persons, groups and entities covered by those provisions (OJ 2009 L 269, p. 20).

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no need to adjudicate on this action.*
2. *Each party shall bear its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 51, 27.2.2010.

Order of the General Court of 14 July 2011 — Goutier v OHIM — Rauch (ARANTAX)

(Case T-13/10) ⁽¹⁾

(Community trade mark — Opposition — Withdrawal of the opposition — No need to give judgment)

(2011/C 282/37)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Klaus Goutier (Frankfurt am Main, Germany) (represented by: E.E. Happe, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented initially by B. Schmidt and then by B. Schmidt and R. Pethke, agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM intervening before the General Court: Norbert Rauch (Herzogenaurach, Germany) (represented by: A. Fottner and M. Müller, lawyers)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 10 November 2009 (Case R 1796/2008-4) concerning opposition proceedings between Norbert Rauch and Klaus Goutier.

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no longer any need to give judgment in the action.*
2. *The applicant is ordered to bear his own costs and those incurred by the defendant. The intervener will bear his own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 80, 27.3.2010.

Order of the General Court of 30 June 2011 — Cross Czech v Commission

(Case T-252/10) ⁽¹⁾

(Action for annulment — Sixth framework programme for research, technological development and demonstration activities — Letter confirming the findings of an audit report and informing the applicant of the next steps in the procedure — Contractual and non-decision-making character of the letter — Inadmissibility)

(2011/C 282/38)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Cross Czech a.s. (Prague, Czech Republic) (represented by: T. Schollaert, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: R. Lyal and W. Roels, Agents)

Re:

Application for annulment of the Commission letter of 12 March 2010, reference number INFSO-O2/FD/GVC/IsC D (2010) 208676, confirming the findings of financial audit report 09-BA74-006 concerning the financial statements declared by the applicant over the period 1 February 2005 to 30 April 2008 for three contracts concluded between the applicant and the Commission under the sixth framework programme of the European Community for research, technological development and demonstration activities, contributing to the creation of the European research area and to innovation (2002-06), and informing the applicant of the next steps in the procedure