# GENERAL COURT

# Judgment of the General Court of 24 March 2011 — Viega v Commission

(Case T-375/06) (1)

(Competition — Agreements, decisions and concerted practices — Copper and copper alloy fittings sector — Decision finding an infringement of Article 81 EC — Participation in the infringement — Obligation to state reasons — Fines — Relevant turnover — Attenuating circumstances)

(2011/C 145/26)

Language of the case: German

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Viega GmbH & Co. KG (Attendorn, Germany) (represented initially by J. Burrichter, T. Mäger and F. Bulst, and subsequently by J. Burrichter, T. Mäger and M. Röhrig, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: A. Nijenhuis and V. Bottka, acting as Agents, and by A. Böhlke, lawyer)

### Re:

Application for annulment in part of Commission Decision C(2006) 4180 of 20 September 2006 relating to a proceeding under Article 81 [EC] and Article 53 of the EEA Agreement (Case COMP/F-1/38.121 — Fittings), and also, in the alternative, for a reduction in the fine imposed on the applicant in that decision.

# Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders Viega GmbH & Co. KG to pay the costs.

(1) OJ C 42, 24.2.2007.

Judgment of the General Court of 24 March 2011 — Legris Industries v Commission

(Case T-376/06) (1)

(Competition — Agreements, decisions and concerted practices — Copper and copper alloy fittings sector — Decision finding an infringement of Article 81 EC — Imputability of the infringement)

(2011/C 145/27)

Language of the case: French

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Legris Industries SA (Rennes, France) (represented initially by A. Wachsmann and C. Pommiès, and subsequently by A. Wachsmann and A. Carré, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: V. Bottka and A. Nijenhuis, acting as Agents, and by N. Coutrelis, lawyer)

#### Re

Application for annulment in part of Commission Decision C(2006) 4180 of 20 September 2006 relating to a proceeding under Article 81 [EC] and Article 53 of the EEA Agreement (Case COMP/F-1/38.121 — Fittings).

# Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders Legris Industries SA to pay the costs.

(1) OJ C 42, 24.2.2007.

Judgment of the General Court of 24 March 2011 — Comap v Commission

(Case T-377/06) (1)

(Competition — Agreements, decisions and concerted practices — Copper and copper alloy fittings sector — Decision finding an infringement of Article 81 EC — Duration of participation in the infringement — Fines — Determination of the starting amount of the fine — Proportionality)

(2011/C 145/28)

Language of the case: French

## **Parties**

Applicant: Comap SA (Paris, France) (represented initially by A. Wachsmann and C. Pommiès, subsequently by A. Wachsmann and D. Nourissier, and finally by A. Wachsmann and S. de Guigné, lawyers)