

Action brought on 11 March 2011 — Gnango v Council**(Case T-139/11)**

(2011/C 130/44)

*Language of the case: French***Parties***Applicant:* Loba Emmanuel Patrice Gnango (Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire) (represented by: G. Collard, lawyer)*Defendant:* Council of the European Union**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- declare that, concerning the applicant, Mr Loba Emmanuel Patrice GNANGO, Council Regulation (EU) No 25/2011 of 14 January 2011 and Council Decision 2011/18/CFSP of 14 January 2011, published on 15 January 2011 in the Official Journal of the European Union, are not justified in fact,
- consequently,
 - annul Council Regulation (EU) No 25/2011 of 14 January 2011 and Council Decision 2011/18/CFSP of 14 January 2011;
 - alternatively, order that the name of Mr Loba Emmanuel Patrice GNANGO be removed from the lists annexed to that regulation and to that decision.

Pleas in law and main argumentsThe pleas in law and main arguments raised by the applicant are, in essence, identical or similar to those raised in Case T-137/11 *Guiai Bi Poin v Council*.**Action brought on 11 March 2011 — Guei v Council****(Case T-140/11)**

(2011/C 130/45)

*Language of the case: French***Parties***Applicant:* Badia Brice Guei (Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire) (represented by: G. Collard, lawyer)*Defendant:* Council of the European Union**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- declare that, concerning the applicant, Mr Badia Brice GUEI, Council Regulation (EU) No 25/2011 of 14 January 2011

and Council Decision 2011/18/CFSP of 14 January 2011, published on 15 January 2011 in the Official Journal of the European Union, are not justified in fact,

— consequently,

- annul Council Regulation (EU) No 25/2011 of 14 January 2011 and Council Decision 2011/18/CFSP of 14 January 2011;
- alternatively, order that the name of Mr Badia Brice GUEI be removed from the lists annexed to that regulation and to that decision.

Pleas in law and main argumentsThe pleas in law and main arguments raised by the applicant are, in essence, identical or similar to those raised in Case T-137/11 *Guiai Bi Poin v Council*.**Action brought on 11 March 2011 — Dogbo v Council****(Case T-141/11)**

(2011/C 130/46)

*Language of the case: French***Parties***Applicant:* Blé Brunot Dogbo (Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire) (represented by: G. Collard, lawyer)*Defendant:* Council of the European Union**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- declare that, concerning the applicant, Mr Blé Brunot DOGBO, Council Regulation (EU) No 25/2011 of 14 January 2011 and Council Decision 2011/18/CFSP of 14 January 2011, published on 15 January 2011 in the Official Journal of the European Union, are not justified in fact,
- consequently,
 - annul Council Regulation (EU) No 25/2011 of 14 January 2011 and Council Decision 2011/18/CFSP of 14 January 2011;
 - alternatively, order that the name of Mr Blé Brunot DOGBO be removed from the lists annexed to that regulation and to that decision.

Pleas in law and main argumentsThe pleas in law and main arguments raised by the applicant are, in essence, identical or similar to those raised in Case T-137/11 *Guiai Bi Poin v Council*.