# GENERAL COURT

Order of the General Court of 14 July 2010 — Grupo Osborne v OHIM — Confecciones Sanfertús (TORO)

(Case T-165/10) (1)

(Community trade mark — Opposition — Withdrawal of opposition — No need to adjudicate)

(2010/C 246/61)

Language of the case: Spanish

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Grupo Osborne, SA (El Puerto de Santa María, Spain) (represented by: J. M. Iglesias Monravá, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) (represented by: D. Botis, Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM: Confecciones Sanfertús, SL (Graus, Spain)

### Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 22 January 2010 (Case R 638/2009-2), concerning opposition proceedings between Confecciones Sanfertús, SL and Grupo Osborne, SA.

### Operative part of the order

- 1. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.
- 2. The applicant shall bear the costs.

Order of the President of the General Court of 22 July 2010 — H v Council and Others

(Case T-271/10 R)

(Application for interim measures — Common foreign and security policy — National official seconded to the European Union Police Mission in Bosnia and Herzegovina — Decision to redeploy and downgrade — Application for suspension of operation of a measure — Admissibility — Lack of urgency)

(2010/C 246/62)

Language of the case: English

#### **Parties**

Applicant: H (Catania, Italy) (represented by: C. Mereu and M. Velardo, lawyers)

Defendants: Council of the European Union (represented by: A. Vitro and G. Marhic, acting as Agents) and European Commission (represented by: F. Erlbacher and B. Eggers, acting as Agents)

## Re:

Application for suspension of operation of the decision of 7 April 2010 of the Head of the European Union Police Mission (EUPM) in Bosnia and Herzegovina having the effect of downgrading and redeploying the applicant.

### Operative part of the order

- 1. The Council of the European Union and the European Commission are considered to be the only defendants.
- 2. The application for interim measures is dismissed.
- 3. Costs are reserved.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 148, 5.6.2010.