Action brought on 20 January 2010 — Klaus Goutier v OHIM — Rauch (ARANTAX)

(Case T-13/10)

(2010/C 80/58)

Language in which the application was lodged: German

Proprietor of the mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings: Norbert Rauch

Mark or sign cited in opposition: the German word mark 'atarax' No 30 168 707 for goods and services in Classes 9, 35, 37, 41 and 42

Decision of the Opposition Division: Rejected the opposition

Decision of the Board of Appeal: Partial annulment of the Opposition Division's decision and partial rejection of the Community trade mark application

Pleas in law: Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Council Regulation No 207/2009, (¹) owing to the absence of likelihood of confusion of the marks at issue

Parties

Applicant: Klaus Goutier (Frankfurt am Main, Germany) (represented by: E.E. Happe, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM: Norbert Rauch (Herzogenaurach, Germany)

Form of order sought

- Annulment of the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) of 10 November 2009 (in Case R 1769/2008-4) in so far as the application for a Community mark annulling the contested decision was rejected for the following services:
 - Class 35 Tax consultancy, tax preparation, accounting, auditing, professional business consultancy, business consultancy;
 - Class 36 Fiscal assessments, mergers and acquisitions, namely financial consultancy with regard to the purchase or sale of companies and company shares, financial management;
 - Class 43 Provision of legal services, legal research;
- Order the defendant to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

Applicant for a Community trade mark: Klaus Goutier

Community trade mark concerned: the word mark 'ARANTAX' for services in Classes 35, 36 and 42 (Application No 4 823 084)

 Council Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 of 26 February 2009 on the Community trade mark (OJ 2009 L 78, p. 1).

Action brought on 18 January 2010 — CheckMobile v OHIM (carcheck)

(Case T-14/10)

(2010/C 80/59)

Language in which the application was lodged: German

Parties

Applicant: CheckMobile GmbH — The Process Solution Company (Hamburg, Germany) (represented by K. Lodigkeit, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Form of order sought

— Annul the decision of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (Fourth Board of Appeal) of 18. November 2009 (Case R 595/2009-4), in so far as it dismissed the application for registration of 'carcheck' in accordance with Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation No 40/94,