

**Action brought on 2 April 2009 — Visser-Fornit Raya v Europol**

(Case F-35/09)

(2009/C 167/49)

*Language of the case: Dutch*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Maria Teresa Visser-Fornit Raya (the Hague, the Netherlands) (represented by: P. de Casparis)

*Defendant:* European Police Office (Europol)

**Subject-matter and description of the proceedings**

Annulment of the decision of 12 June 2008 informing the applicant that it was impossible to offer her a permanent post, and the decision of 7 January 2009 rejecting the complaint brought against the first decision.

**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Tribunal should:

- annul the decision of 12 June 2008 by which the defendant informed the applicant that it could not offer her a permanent post and the decision of 7 January 2009 in response to a complaint, stating that the objections which the applicant had raised to the decision of 12 June 2008 were unfounded;
- order Europol to pay the costs.

---

**Action brought on 2 April 2009 — Armitage-Wilson v Europol**

(Case F-36/09)

(2009/C 167/50)

*Language of the case: Dutch*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Kate Armitage-Wilson (the Hague, the Netherlands) (represented by: W. J. Dammingh)

*Defendant:* European Police Office (Europol)

**Subject-matter and description of the proceedings**

Annulment of the decision of 12 June 2008 informing the applicant that it was impossible to offer her a permanent post, and the decision of 7 January 2009 rejecting the complaint brought against the first decision.

**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Tribunal should:

- annul the decision of 12 June 2008 by which the defendant informed the applicant that it could not offer her a permanent post and the decision of 7 January 2009 in response to a complaint, stating that the objections which the applicant had raised to the decision of 12 June 2008 were unfounded;
- order Europol to pay the costs

---

**Action brought on 2 April 2009 — Doyle v Europol**

(Case F-37/09)

(2009/C 167/51)

*Language of the case: Dutch*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Margaret Doyle (Noordwijkerhout, the Netherlands) (represented by: P. de Casparis)

*Defendant:* European Police Office (Europol)

**Subject-matter and description of the proceedings**

Annulment of the decision of 12 June 2008 informing the applicant that it was impossible to offer her a permanent post, and the decision of 7 January 2009 rejecting the complaint brought against the first decision.

**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Tribunal should:

- annul the decision of 12 June 2008 by which the defendant informed the applicant that it could not offer her a permanent post and the decision of 7 January 2009 in response to a complaint, stating that the objections which the applicant had raised to the decision of 12 June 2008 were unfounded;
- order Europol to pay the costs.

---

**Action brought on 2 April 2009 — Martin v Europol**

(Case F-38/09)

(2009/C 167/52)

*Language of the case: Dutch*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Breige Martin (Dublin, Ireland) (represented by: P. de Casparis)