12.4.2008

contract will most probably have been fully executed by the time the Court reaches its decision or if it is no longer possible to annul the decision, the applicant requests monetary compensation (damages) in accordance with Articles 235 and 288 EC.

the award of public works contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts (OJ L 134, p. 114).

Action brought on 24 January 2008 — Codorniu Napa v OHIM — Bodegas Ontañón (ARTESA NAPA VALLEY)

## (Case T-35/08)

(2008/C 92/67)

Language in which the application was lodged: Spanish

## Parties

Applicant: Codorniu Napa, Inc. (California, United States of America) (represented by: X. Fàbrega Sabaté and M. Curell Aguilà, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM: Bodegas Ontañón, S.A.

# Form of order sought

- Annul the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (OHIM) of 20 November 2007 in Case R 747/2006-4, and
- order OHIM to pay the costs.

#### Pleas in law and main arguments

Applicant for the Community trade mark: The applicant.

Community trade mark applied for: Figurative mark 'ARTESA NAPA VALLEY' for goods in Class 33 (application No 3.079.159)

Proprietor of the mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings: Bodegas Ontañón, S.A.

Mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings: Community figurative trade mark No 2.050.623 'ARTESO' for goods in Classes 33 and 35, Spanish word mark No 844.194 'LA ARTESA' for goods in Class 33.

Decision of the Opposition Division: Upheld the opposition and rejected the application for registration.

Decision of the Board of Appeal: Dismissal of the appeal.

Pleas in law: Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 40/94 (<sup>1</sup>) given that there is no likelihood of confusion between the signs in conflict.

(1) Council Regulation (EC) No 40/94 of 20 December 1993 on the Community trade mark (OJ 1994 L 11, p. 1).

Action brought on 23 January 2008 — Walton v Commission

(Case T-37/08)

(2008/C 92/68)

Language of the case: English

## **Parties**

Applicant: Robert Walton (Oxford, United Kingdom) (represented by: D. Beard, Barrister)

Defendant: Commission of the European Communities

## Form of order sought

- A declaration that the decision of the Commission to set-off the sum of EUR 36 551,58 against the sums due to Mr Walton pursuant to the judgment of the Court in Case T-144/02 was unlawful; or
- a declaration that the decision of the Commission to set off the sum of EUR 36 551,58 against the sums due to Mr Walton pursuant to the judgment of the Court in Case T-144/02 was unlawful in part; or
- a declaration that the sum of EUR 36 551,58 set off by the Commission against the sums due to Mr Walton pursuant to the judgment of the Court in Case T-144/02 should be recalculated so as to remove the Commission's claim for interest; and/or
- an order that (a) the established amount receivable of EUR 13 104,14 plus interest; and/or (b) the established amount receivable of EUR 13 815,16 plus interest be cancelled; and
- an order that the Commission pay the appellant's costs; and
- such further or other measures as the Court may consider just and equitable.

<sup>(1)</sup> Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1605/2002 of 25 June 2002 on the Financial Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1003/2002 of 25 june 2002 on the Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget of the European Communities (OJ L 248, p. 1). Directive 2004/18/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 on the coordination of procedures for