#### Form of order sought

- annul the decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 15 March 2007, Case R 1249/2006-1, in so far as it refused to grant its Community trade mark application CYBER-HOME No 4 114 666 for part of the goods and services applied for in Classes 9, 36 and 38;
- the granting of its Community trade mark application CYBERHOME No 4 114 666 for all the goods and services applied for

## Pleas in law and main arguments

*Community trade mark concerned:* Word mark 'CYBERHOME' for goods and services in Classes 9, 36 and 38 (application No 4 114 666)

Decision of the Examiner: Registration refused

Decision of the Board of Appeal: Appeal dismissed

*Pleas in law:* The applicant submits that, contrary to the Board of Appeal of OHIM's finding in the contested decision, its mark is arbitrary and is sufficiently distinctive in relation to the goods and services applied for to meet the requirements of Council Regulation No 40/94 (<sup>1</sup>).

Action brought on 23 May 2007 — Anvil Knitwear v OHIM — Aprile e Aprile (Aprile)

(Case T-179/07)

(2007/C 170/62)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

### Parties

Applicant: Anvil Knitwear, Inc. (New York, USA) (represented by: G. Würtenberger, T. Wittmann, lawyers, and R. Kunze, Solicitor)

*Defendant*: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Aprile e Aprile Srl (Frazione Funo, Italy)

### Form of order sought

 The decision of the Second Board of Appeal of 22 March 2007 in Case R 1076/2006-2 concerning the opposition based on German trade mark registration No 30 011 766 'ANVIL' against Community trade mark application No 3 800 232 'Aprile' & device be annulled;

- the opposition against Community trade mark application No 3 800 232 'Aprile' & device be granted and application for registration of Community trade mark No 3 800 232 'Aprile' & device be rejected;
- defendant pay the costs of the proceedings.

## Pleas in law and main arguments

Applicant for the Community trade mark: Aprile e Aprile Srl

*Community trade mark concerned:* The figurative mark 'Aprile' for goods in classes 18 and 25 — application No 3 800 232

Proprietor of the mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings: The applicant

Mark or sign cited: The national word mark 'ANVIL' for goods in class 25

Decision of the Opposition Division: Rejection of the opposition in its entirety

Decision of the Board of Appeal: Dismissal of the appeal

*Pleas in law:* Infringement of Articles 8(1), 73 and 74 of Council Regulation No 40/94 as the Board of Appeal did not evaluate the aspects of the partial identity and partial similarity of the goods in question as well as the increased distinctiveness of the earlier mark. Furthermore the Board of Appeal did neither objectively nor without prejudice state the reasons on which its decision was based, nor did it take the uncontested facts of the proceedings properly into account.

Action brought on 25 May 2007 — Eurocopter v OHIM (STEADYCONTROL)

(Case T-181/07)

(2007/C 170/63)

Language of the case: French

# Parties

Applicant: Eurocopter (Marignane, France) (represented by E. Soler Borda, lawyer)

*Defendant:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM)

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) Council Regulation (EC) No 40/94 of 20 December 1993 on the Community trade mark (OJ 1994 L 11, p. 1).