

*Defendant:* European Parliament (represented by: H. von Herten, A. Bencomo Weber and A. Lukosiute, Agents)

**Re:**

In Case T-432/03, application for annulment of the European Parliament's decision to organise an internal competition to fill the post of deputy head of the French translation division advertised in vacancy notice No 9192 and, in Case T-95/05, application for annulment of the Parliament's decision of 29 April 2004 appointing M.S. to that post.

**Operative part of the judgment**

*The Court:*

1. Dismisses the actions;
2. Orders each party to bear its own costs.

---

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 47, 21.2.2004.

**Judgment of the Court of First Instance of 10 October 2006 — Arranz Benitez v Parliament**

(Case T-87/04) (<sup>1</sup>)

**(Officials — Remuneration — Actual shared maintenance of the children following the divorce of two officials — Taken into consideration in order to calculate the tax allowance)**

(2006/C 310/21)

*Language of the case: French*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Milagros Irène Arranz Benitez (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: S. Orlandi, A. Coolen, J.-N. Louis and E. Marchal, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Parliament (represented by: M. Mustapha-Pascha and L. Knudsen, Agents)

**Re:**

Annulment of the Parliament's decision of 15 April 2003 in so far as that decision alters, as from 1 May 2003, the applicant's right to the tax allowance in respect of dependent children.

**Operative part of the judgment**

*The Court:*

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders each party to bear its own costs.

---

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 106, 30.4.2004.

**Judgment of the Court of First Instance of 25 October 2006 — Carius v Commission**

(Case T-173/04) (<sup>1</sup>)

**(Officials — Career Development Report — 2001/2002 appraisal procedure — Action for annulment — Plea of illegality — Rights of the defence — Obligation to state reasons — Manifest error of assessment)**

(2006/C 310/22)

*Language of the case: French*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Jürgen Carius (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: N. Lhoëst, lawyer)

*Defendant:* Commission of the European Communities (represented by: H. Tserepa-Lacombe and M. Velardo, Agents)

**Re:**

Application for annulment of the decision of 21 May 2003 drawing up the definitive version of the applicant's Career Development Report for the period from 1 July 2001 to 31 December 2002 and, so far as necessary, of the decision of 23 December 2003 rejecting the applicant's complaint.

**Operative part of the judgment**

*The Court:*

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders each party to bear its own costs.

---

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 179, 10.7.2004.

**Judgment of the Court of First Instance of 10 October 2006 — Van der Spree v Commission**

(Case T-182/04) (<sup>1</sup>)

**(Officials — Career Development Report — 2001/2002 appraisal period — Articles 26 and 43 of the Staff Regulations — Rights of the defence — Annulment)**

(2006/C 310/23)

*Language of the case: French*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Daniel Van der Spree (Overijssel, Belgium) (represented by: S. Orlandi, A. Coolen, J.-N. Louis and E. Marchal, lawyers)