

## COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE

**Judgment of the Court of First Instance of 24 October 2006 — Ritek and Prodisc Technology v Council**(Case T-274/02) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Dumping — Recordable compact discs originating in Taiwan — Determination of the dumping margin — Choice of the asymmetrical method of calculation — Pattern of export prices which differ according to the purchasers, regions or periods — ‘Zeroing’ technique)*

(2006/C 310/18)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Ritek Corp (Hsin-Chu, Taiwan) and Prodisc Technology Inc. (Taipei Hsien, Taiwan) (represented by: first, K. Adamantopoulos, V. Akritidis and D. De Notaris, lawyers, and subsequently by K. Adamantopoulos and J. Branton, Solicitor)

*Defendant:* Council of the European Union (represented by S. Marquardt, acting as Agent, and G. Berrisch, lawyer)

*Intervener in support of the defendant:* Commission of the European Communities (represented by T. Scharf and S. Meany, Agents)

**Re:**

Annulment of Council Regulation (EC) No 1050/2002 of 13 June 2002 imposing a definitive anti-dumping duty and collecting definitively the provisional duty imposed on imports of recordable compact discs originating in Taiwan (OJ 2002 L 160, p. 2).

**Operative part of the judgment***The Court:*

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Ritek Corp. and Prodisc Technology Inc. to bear their own costs and those incurred by the Council;
3. Orders the Commission to bear its own costs

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 289, 23.11.2002.

**Judgment of the Court of First Instance of 10 October 2006 — PTV v OHIM (map&guide)**(Case T-302/03) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Community trade mark — Application for the Community word mark map&guide — Absolute grounds for refusal — Descriptive character — Lack of distinctive character — Article 7(1)(b) and (c) of Regulation (EC) No 40/94)*

(2006/C 310/19)

Language of the case: German

**Parties**

*Applicant:* PTV Planung Transport Verkehr AG (Karlsruhe, Germany) (represented by: F. Nielsen, lawyer)

*Defendant:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: B. Müller and G. Schneider, Agents)

**Re:**

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of OHIM of 1 July 2003 (Case R 1046/2001-2), concerning an application for registration of the word mark map&guide as a Community trade mark.

**Operative part of the judgment***The Court:*

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders the applicant to pay the costs.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 264, 1.11.2003.

**Judgment of the Court of First Instance of 17 October 2006 — Dehon v Parliament**(Joined Cases T-432/03 and T-95/05) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Officials — Vacant post — Compliance with a judgment of the Court of First Instance annulling an appointment decision — Article 29(1) of the Staff Regulations — Organisation of an internal competition)*

(2006/C 310/20)

Language of the case: French

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Jean Dehon (Hagen, Luxembourg) (represented by: S. Orlandi, X. Martin, A. Coolen, J.-N. Louis and E. Marchal, lawyers)