Operative part of the order

- 1. The action is dismissed as manifestly inadmissible.
- 2. Each of the parties shall bear its own costs.
- (¹) OJ C 115 of 14.5.2006 (case initially registered before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities under number T-123/05 and transferred to the European Civil Service Tribunal by order of 15.12.2005).

Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (Second Chamber) of 30 June 2006 — Ott and Others v Commission

(Case F-87/05) (1)

(Officials — Promotion for 2004 — Non-inclusion on the list of officials promoted — Article 111 of the Rules of Procedure of the Court of First Instance — Action, in part, manifestly inadmissible and, in part, manifestly unfounded)

(2006/C 190/63)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicants: Martial Ott (Oberanven, Luxembourg), Fernando Lopez Tola (Luxembourg, Luxembourg), Francis Weiler (Itzig, Luxembourg) (represented by: G. Bounéou and F. Frabetti, lawyers)

Defendant: Commission (represented by: C. Berardis-Kayser and D. Martin, Agents)

Re:

First, annulment of the decision of 30 November 2004, published in Administrative Notice No 130 2004 of 30 November 2004, in which the Commission of the European Communities set out the list of officials promoted in 2004, since that list does not include the names of the applicants, and, secondly, in the alternative, annulment of the allocation of promotion points for 2004.

Operative part of the order

- 1. The action is dismissed, in part, as manifestly inadmissible and, in part, as manifestly unfounded.
- 2. Each of the parties shall bear its own costs.
- (¹) OJ C 315 of 10.12.2005 (case initially registered before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities under number T-349/05 and transferred to the European Civil Service Tribunal by order of 15.12.2005).

Action brought on 21 April 2006 — Pimlott v Europol

(Case F-52/06)

(2006/C 190/64)

Language of the case: Dutch

Parties

Applicant: Mike Pimlott (Porchester, Hampshire, United Kingdom) (represented by: D.C. Coppens, lawyer)

Defendant: European Police Office (Europol)

Form of order sought

- Annul Europol's decision of 25 January 2006;
- Order Europol to renew the applicant's contract for a period of four years, from 1 January 2006 to 31 December 2010;
- Order Europol to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The applicant, after having been first engaged by Europol on 1 January 2000 for a period initially fixed at four years, was employed from 1 January 2002 in a different position within the same bureau, under a new contract, which was to end on 31 December 2005.