

— order the defendant to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas and main arguments relied on by the applicants are identical to those relied on in Case F-128/05 Adolf and Others v Commission ⁽¹⁾.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 60 of 11.3.2006, p. 56.

Action brought on 15 February 2006 — Augenault and Others v Council

(Case F-16/06)

(2006/C 96/60)

(Language of the case: French)

Parties

Applicants: Françoise Augenault and Others (represented by: G. Vandersanden and L. Levi, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union

Form of order sought

The applicants claim that the Court should:

- declare the action admissible and well founded, including the objection of illegality contained in it;
- consequently, annul the applicants' pension statements for March 2005, so as to result in the application of a weighting for the capital of their country of residence or, at least, of a weighting such as to reflect adequately the differences in the cost of living in the places where the applicants are deemed to incur their expenditure and therefore to give effect to the principle of equivalence;
- order the defendant to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas and main arguments relied on by the applicants are identical to those relied on in Case F-128/05 Adolf and Others v Commission ⁽¹⁾.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 60 of 11.3.2006, p. 56.

Action brought on 21 February 2006 — Marc Vereecken v Commission of the European Communities

(Case F-17/06)

(2006/C 96/61)

(Language of the case: French)

Parties

Applicant(s): Marc Vereecken (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: S. Rodrigues and A. Jaume)

Defendant: Commission of the European Communities

Form of order sought

- Annul the decisions of the Appointing Authority (AA) dismissing the applicant's complaint, together with the decision of the AA of 19 October 2004 and the pay slips for the months of February 2005 et seq. in so far as they change the applicant's grade to A*8, and the decision awarding merit points, priority points and compensation points for leave on personal grounds (CCP) adopted by the AA;
- Inform the AA of the consequences of annulling the contested decisions, and in particular: (i) the promotion of the applicant to grade A*10 (ex A6) with retroactive effect from 2001, or at least from 1 October 2004, when the applicant was re-employed; (ii) at least the promotion of the applicant to grade A*9 with effect from 1 October 2004; (iii) the award to the applicant of the points to which he is entitled with effect from his promotion, including merit points, priority points and transitional points for the CDRs 2003, 2004 and 2005;