

- Consequently, restore the applicant's right to the salary corresponding to grade A\*10, step 2 as from her appointment and restore her pension rights and the benefits and allowances to which she is entitled as well as ensuring that, for promotion purposes, regard is had to the date of her appointment;
- Order the Court of Justice to pay the costs.

### Pleas in law and main arguments

The applicant took part in competition CJ/LA/25 aimed at constituting a reserve list of Spanish-language lawyer-linguists for grades LA7/LA6.

After passing the competition, the applicant was informed that she had been appointed as a probationary official at grade A\*7, step 2 in the Translation Directorate of the Court of Justice as from 16 May 2005.

In her action the applicant challenges her classification at a lower grade pursuant to the entry into force of Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 723/2004 of 22 March 2004 amending the Staff Regulations of officials of the European Communities and the Conditions of Employment of other servants of the European Communities. <sup>(1)</sup>

In support of her action, the applicant submits two pleas in law. The first consists of a plea of illegality against Article 12(3) and Article 13(2) of Annex XIII to the Staff Regulations. The second is based on the infringement of the principle of good administration, the duty to have regard for the welfare and interests of officials, the principle of transparency, the principle of good faith, the principle of equal treatment and the principle of non-discrimination.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ L 124, of 27.04.2004, p. 1

### Action brought on 15 February 2006 — Chevalier Carmana and Others v Court of Justice of the European Communities

(Case F-14/06)

(2006/C 96/58)

(Language of the case: French)

#### Parties

*Applicants:* Giovanna Chevalier Carmana (Paris, France), Alice Coda (Paris, France), Jacqueline Doucet (Paris, France), Françoise Kluss (Ollioules, France) (represented by: G. Vandersanden and L. Levi, lawyers)

*Defendant:* Court of Justice of the European Communities

### Form of order sought

The applicants claim that the Court should:

- declare the action admissible and well founded, including the objection of illegality contained in it;
- consequently, annul the applicants' pension statements for March 2005, so as to result in the application of a weighting for the capital of their country of residence or, at least, of a weighting such as to reflect adequately the differences in the cost of living in the places where the applicants are deemed to incur their expenditure and therefore to give effect to the principle of equivalence;
- order the defendant to pay the costs.

### Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas and main arguments relied on by the applicants are identical to those relied on in Case F-128/05 Adolf and Others v Commission <sup>(1)</sup>.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 60 of 11.3.2006, p. 56.

### Action brought on 15 February 2006 — Abba and Others v European Parliament

(Case F-15/06)

(2006/C 96/59)

(Language of the case: French)

#### Parties

*Applicants:* Abba and Others (represented by: G. Vandersanden and L. Levi, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Parliament

### Form of order sought

The applicants claim that the Court should:

- declare the action admissible and well founded, including the objection of illegality contained in it;
- consequently, annul the applicants' pension statements for March 2005, so as to result in the application of a weighting for the capital of their country of residence or, at least, of a weighting such as to reflect adequately the differences in the cost of living in the places where the applicants are deemed to incur their expenditure and therefore to give effect to the principle of equivalence;