Action brought on 26 September 2005 — Genette v Commission

(Case T-361/05)

(2005/C 315/26)

Language of the case: French

fundamental right to effective judicial protection and the duty of assistance laid down in Article 24 of the Staff Regulations.

Lastly, the applicant pleads that the Belgian law of 1991 conflicts with Community law, specifically Article 11(2) of Annex VIII and the principle of equal treatment.

Parties

Applicant(s): Genette (Gorze, France) (represented by: M.-A. Lucas, lawyer)

Defendant(s): Commission of the European Communities

Form of order sought

The applicant(s) claim(s) that the Court should:

- annul the decision of the Head of the 'Pensions' Unit of 25 January 2005 rejecting the applicant's application of 31 October 2004 for the transfer of his pension rights acquired in Belgium (No D/1106/2004);
- annul the decision of the Director General of the ADMIN DG of 10 June 2005 dismissing the applicant's complaint of 22 April 2005 against the decision of the Head of the 'Pensions' Unit of 2 February 2005 rejecting his application of 31 October 2004;
- order the defendant to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

Following an application by the applicant, a Commission official, the pension rights he had acquired in Belgium were transferred to the Community scheme in 2002, in accordance with the provisions of a Belgian law on that subject adopted in 1991. In 2003, Belgium adopted a new law governing those transfers, whose provisions, according to the applicant, were more advantageous for him.

The 1991 law provided for the possibility to withdraw the transfer application, with the institution's agreement. The applicant therefore submitted an application for the Commission to record its agreement on the withdrawal of the application he had submitted under the regime governed by the 1991 law, so that he might, subsequently, submit a new application governed by the 2003 law. That application was rejected by the contested decision, on the ground that the Community provisions do not provide for the possibility to withdraw an application.

By his action, the applicant challenges the rejection of his application. He points out a number of obvious errors in the assessment of the subject of his application, the final nature of the decisions called into question by his application, the existence of new and substantial facts and the time-limit for submitting the application. He also alleges infringement of Article 11(2) of Annex VIII to the Staff Regulations, and of the general provisions for its implementation. In addition, the applicant submits that the contested decisions infringe his

Action brought on 26 September 2005 — Saint-Gobain Pam SA v OHIM

(Case T-364/05)

(2005/C 315/27)

Language in which the application was lodged: French

Parties

Applicant: Saint-Gobain Pam SA (Nancy, France) (represented by: J. Blanchard, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM: Propamsa SA

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the decision given on 15 April 2005 by the Fourth Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs);
- order the defendant to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

Applicant for a Community trade mark: Saint-Gobain Pam SA

Community trade mark concerned: word mark 'PAM PLUVIAL' for goods in Class 6 ('pipes and tubes of metal or based on metal, pipes and tubes of cast iron, fittings of metal for the aforesaid goods') and Class 17 ('fittings, not of metal, for rigid pipes and tubes, not of metal').

Proprietor of the mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings: Propamsa SA

Mark or sign cited in opposition: Spanish trade mark registration No 737 992 of the semi-figurative mark 'PAM PAM', for goods in Class 19 ('construction materials'), Spanish trade mark registration No 120 075 of the word mark 'PAM' for goods in Class 19 ('cements') and International trade mark registration No 463 089 'PAM' for goods in Class 1 ('adhesive substances destined for industry') and Class 19 ('materials for construction (non-metallic), wrought or unwrought').