

**Action brought on 8 July 2005 by Stéphane Veramme
against the Commission of the European Communities**

(Case T-272/05)

(2005/C 229/68)

(Language of the case: French)

An action against the Commission of the European Communities was brought before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities on 8 July 2005 by Stéphane Veramme, resident in Auderghem (Belgium), represented Lucas Vogel, lawyer.

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the decision adopted by the Authority Responsible for Concluding Contracts of Employment on 21 March 2005 by which it dismissed the applicant's application of 10 December 2004, reclassified as a claim, against the decision fixing the grade and remuneration determined for the applicant in his capacity as contract staff under the terms of the contract which entered into effect on 16 September 2004;
- in so far as necessary, annul also the original decision, by which the grade and remuneration were fixed for the applicant in his capacity as a contract staff under the terms of the contract which entered into effect on 16 September 2004;
- order the defendant to pay EUR 25 000 by way of damages, subject to an increase or decrease or further specifications;
- order the defendant to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are identical to those put forward in Case T-253/05 *Fernandez Tunon v Commission*.

**Action brought on 12 July 2005 by Paulo Sequeira
Wandschneider against the Commission of the European
Communities**

(Case T-282/05)

(2005/C 229/69)

(Language of the case: French)

An action against the Commission of the European Communities was brought before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities on 12 July 2005 by Paulo Sequeira Wandschneider, residing in Brussels, represented by Georges Vandersanden and Chiara Ronzi, lawyers.

The applicant claims that the Court should:

1. annul the Career Development Report for the reference period from 1 January 2003 to 31 December 2003;
2. to the extent necessary, annul the decision rejecting the applicant's complaint brought on 2 December 2004;
3. order the defendant to pay fair compensation for material and non-material suffered, estimated, subject to certification, at EUR 2 500;
4. order the Commission to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas and principal arguments relied on by the applicant are identical to those in Case T-110/04 *Sequeira Wandschneider v Commission* (1).

(1) OJ C 106 of 30.04.2004, p. 86.