Pleas in law and main arguments	Pleas	in	law	and	main	arguments:
---------------------------------	-------	----	-----	-----	------	------------

Applicant for registration of the Community trade mark:

Monte di Massima s.a.s.

The applicant claims that the Court should:

was Marcorossi Srl.

Community trade mark applied for:

Figurative mark VALLE DELLA LUNA — Application for registration No 2 029 726 for goods in Class 32 (water, syrups, beers and non-alcoholic drinks)

1. annul the contested decision which is the subject-matter of the dispute;

The other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

2. order the defendant to pay the costs.

Owner of the mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings:

J. M. Höffele Internationale Handelsgesellschaft Pleas in law and main arguments:

Mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings: German word mark VALLE DE LA LUNA for goods in Class 33 (wines) Applicant for registration of the Community trade mark:

Marcorossi Srl.

Decision of the Opposition Division:

Opposition refused

Community trade mark applied for:

Word mark MARCOROSSI — Application for registration No 1 405 869 for goods in Class 18 (bags, cases, small leather goods, wallets, holdalls, umbrellas) and 25 (footwear, belts, clothing)

Decision of the Board of Appeal

Appeal allowed

Pleas in law: Misapplication of Article 15(2)(a) of Regulation No 40/94 on the

Community trade mark

Owner of the mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings:

Sergio Rossi SpA

Mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings: Italian trade mark and international registration MISS ROSSI for goods in Class 25, Italian trade mark SERGIO ROSSI for footwear in Class 25, and Community trade mark SERGIO ROSSI for goods in Classes 3, 18 and 25

Action brought on 22 February 2005 by Sergio Rossi SpA against the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM)

Decision of the Opposition Division:

Decision of the Board

Opposition allowed

(Case T-97/05)

(2005/C 115/50)

of Appeal:

Appeal allowed and opposition refused

(Language of the case: Italian)

Pleas in law:

Misapplication of Article 8 of Regulation No 40/94 on the Community trade mark, inasmuch as the trade marks which are the subject-matter of the contested decision are to be regarded as incompatible with that provision.

An action against the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) was brought before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities on 22 February 2005 by Sergio Rossi SpA, represented by Alessandro Ruo, lawyer.