## JUDGMENT OF THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE

## JUDGMENT OF THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE

## of 10 November 2004

of 9 November 2004

in Case T-164/02: Kaul GmbH v Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) (1)

in Joined Cases T-285/02 and T-395/02 Eva Vega Rodríguez v Commission of the European Communities (1)

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for Community word mark ARCOL — Earlier Community word mark CAPOL — Scope of the assessment conducted by the Board of Appeal — Assessment of evidence adduced before the Board of Appeal)

(Officials — Open competition — Multiple-choice questions — Accuracy of the answers in the marking sheet — Judicial scrutiny — Limits)

(2005/C 19/46)

(2005/C 19/45)

(Language of the case: French)

(Language of the case: German)

In Case T-164/02: Kaul GmbH, established in Elmshorn (Germany), represented by G. Würtenberger and R. Kunze, lawyers, against Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) (Agents: A. von Mühlendahl and G. Schneider) the other party to the proceedings before the OHIM Board of Appeal having been Bayer AG, established in Leverkusen (Germany), – action brought against the decision of the Third Board of Appeal of OHIM of 4 March 2002 (Case R 782/2000-3), relating to opposition proceedings between Kaul GmbH and Bayer AG – the Court of First Instance (Fourth Chamber), composed of H. Legal, President, M. Vilaras and I. Wiszniewska-Białecka, Judges; D. Christensen, Administrator, for the Registrar, has given a judgment on 10 November 2004, in which it:

- In Joined Cases T-285/02 and T-395/02, Eva Vega Rodríguez, residing in Brussels (Belgium), represented in Case T-285/02 by J. Iturriagagoitia Bassas and, in Case T-395/02, by J. Iturriagagoitia Bassas and K. Delvolvé, lawyers, against Commission of the European Communities (Agent: J. Currall, with an address for service in Luxembourg) applications, primarily, for annulment of the decision of the selection board in competition COM/A/10/01 excluding the applicant and awarding an insufficient number of marks for her to continue in the competition and of the decision rejecting her complaint and, in the alternative, for damages the Court of First Instance (Second Chamber), composed of J. Pirrung, President, A.W.H. Meij and N.J. Forwood, Judges; I. Natsinas, Administrator, for the Registrar, has given a judgment on 9 November 2004, in which it:
- 1. Annuls the decision of 4 March 2002 (Case R 782/2000-3) of the Third Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM);
- 1. Dismisses the actions;

2. Orders OHIM to pay the costs.

2. Orders each party to bear its own costs.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 180 of 27.2.2002.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 289 of 23.11.2002.