17.4.2004 EN

Pleas in law and main arguments:		February 2004 by Budějovický Budvar, národní podnik, estab- lished in České Budějovice (Czech Republic), represented by Fabienne Fajgenbaum, lawyer.	
Applicant for Com- munity trade mark:	Anheuser-Busch, Incorporated	Anheuser-Busch, Incorporated, was also a party to the proceed-	
Community trade mark sought:	Word mark 'BUD' – Application No 739102 in respect of goods in Classes 9 and 14	ings before the Second Board of Appeal. The applicant claims that the Court should:	
Proprietor of mark or sign cited in the opposi- tion proceedings:	Applicant	<ul> <li>annul the decision of the defendant's Second Board of Appeal of 3 December 2003;</li> </ul>	
Mark or sign cited in opposition:	Appellations of origin 'BUD'	<ul> <li>reject the application for the registration of BUD for Class</li> <li>21 filed on 1 April 1996 on behalf of Anheuser-Busch;</li> </ul>	
Decision of the Opposi- tion Division:	Opposition rejected	— order Anheuser-Busch to pay the costs.	
Decision of the Board of Appeal:	Appeal dismissed	Pleas in law and main arguments:	
Pleas in law:	Incorrect application of Article $8(4)$ of Regulation (EC) No $40/94$ ( <sup>1</sup> ). The applicant, entitled in France to use the above- mentioned appellations of origin, submits that French law enables it to oppose the registration of the mark sought without having to demonstrate that the appellations in question possess a reputation in France and without having to examine whether the use of the	Applicant for Com- munity trade mark:	Anheuser-Busch, Incorporated
		Community trade mark sought:	Word mark 'BUD' — Application No 24737 in respect of goods in Class 21
		Proprietor of mark or sign cited in the opposi- tion proceedings:	Applicant
	mark at issue could have the consequence of misappropriating or weakening the reputation of those appellations.	Mark or sign cited in opposition:	Appellations of origin 'BUD'
	those appenations.	Decision of the Opposi- tion Division:	Opposition rejected
(1) Council Regulation (EC) No 40/94 of 20 December 1993 on the Community trade mark (OJ 1994 L 11, p. 1).		Decision of the Board of Appeal:	Appeal dismissed
Action brought on 10 February 2004 by Budějovický Budvar, národní podnik, against the Office for Harmonisa- tion in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM)		Pleas in law:	Incorrect application of Article 8(4) of Regulation (EC) No 40/94 ( <sup>1</sup> ). The applicant, entitled in France to use the above- mentioned appellations of origin, submits that French law enables it to oppose the registration of the mark sought without having to demonstrate that the appellations
			in question possess a reputation in France and without having to examine whether the use of the mark at issue could have the
(Case T-61/04) (2004/C 94/152)			consequence of misappropriating or weakening the reputation of those appellations.
(Language of the case: French)			

An action against the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) was brought before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities on 10

<sup>(1)</sup> Council Regulation (EC) No 40/94 of 20 December 1993 on the Community trade mark (OJ 1994 L 11, p. 1).