

**Action brought on 29 June 2002 by Vassilios Tsarnavas  
against Commission of the European Communities**

(Case T-200/02)

(2002/C 202/61)

(Language of the case: French)

An action against the Commission of the European Communities was brought before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities on 29 June 2002 by Vassilios Tsarnavas, residing in Brussels, represented by Nicolas Lhoëst, lawyer, with an address for service in Luxembourg.

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the decision of the Commission of 13 March 2002 rejecting in part the complaint lodged by the applicant under Article 90(2) of the Staff Regulations so far as concerns his claim for compensation;
- accordingly, order the Commission to pay him EUR 12 500 by way of compensation for the non-material damage suffered by him;
- order the Commission to pay the costs.

*Pleas in law and main arguments*

The applicant claims that he suffered damage as a result of the infringement by the Commission of the General Provisions implementing Article 43 of the Staff Regulations inasmuch as the delay in drawing up his staff report is attributable to the Commission.

**Action brought on 9 July 2002 by Nicoletta Falcone  
against Commission of the European Communities**

(Case T-207/02)

(2002/C 202/62)

(Language of the case: Italian)

An action against the Commission of the European Communities was brought before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities on 9 July 2002 by Falcone Nicoletta, represented by Massimo Condinanzi, lawyer.

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the decision of the Selection Board to exclude the applicant from the competition following the pre-selection tests, communicated by letter of 2 May 2002 admin. A2/5000LM — IT 001451 signed by Herik Halskov;
- annul all other subsequent and consequent decisions which may be adopted by the Commission with regard to the applicant's exclusion from the competition in question;
- order the Commission to pay the costs.

*Pleas in law and main arguments*

The applicant in the present action contests the decision of the Selection Board not to admit the applicant to the written tests in general competition COM/A/10/01 Section 01/Law for having failed to obtain sufficient marks to be included among the 400 best candidates.

The applicant points out in that regard that, according to the notice of the abovementioned competition, only those candidates obtaining the 400 best marks in the pre-selection tests were to be admitted to the competition.

In support of his arguments, the applicants alleges:

- unlawfulness of the notice of competition as contrary to Articles 4 and 5 of Annex III to the Staff Regulations of the European Community.
- breach of the principles of sound administration, according to which the Commission must admit to the tests only those candidates capable of meeting the conditions for admission set out in the notice.

**Action brought on 3 July 2002 by Lene Beier against  
Europol**

(Case T-208/02)

(2002/C 202/63)

(Language of the case: Dutch)

An action against Europol was brought before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities on 3 July 2002 by Lene Beier, residing in The Hague (Netherlands), represented by Maria Franciscus Baltussen and Pauline de Casparis, lawyers.