## JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

(Sixth Chamber)

## 2 May 2002

in Case C-292/99: Commission of the European Communities v French Republic  $(^1)$ 

(Failure by a Member State to fulfil its obligations — Environment — Waste — Directives 75/442/EEC, 91/156/EEC, 91/689/EEC and 94/62/EC — Waste management plans)

(2002/C 144/03)

(Language of the case: French)

(Provisional translation; the definitive translation will be published in the European Court Reports)

In Case C-292/99, Commission of the European Communities (Agents: H. van Lier and L. Ström) v French Republic (Agents: K. Rispal-Bellanger and D. Colas): Application for a declaration that, by failing to draw up management plans either for the whole of its territory or for all waste, and by failing to include a chapter relating to packaging waste in all of the waste plans which it has adopted, the French Republic has failed to fulfil its obligations under Article 7(1) of Council Directive 75/442/ EEC of 15 July 1975 on waste (OJ 1975 L 194, p. 39), as amended by Council Directive 91/156/EEC of 18 March 1991 (OJ 1991 L 78, p. 32), under Article 6(1) of Council Directive 91/689/EEC of 12 December 1991 on hazardous waste (OJ 1991 L 377, p. 20) and under Article 14 of European Parliament and Council Directive 94/62/EC of 20 December 1994 on packaging and packaging waste (OJ 1994 L 365, p. 10), the Court (Sixth Chamber), composed of: F. Macken, President of the Chamber, C. Gulmann, J.-P. Puissochet, V. Skouris (Rapporteur) and J. N. Cunha Rodrigues, Judges; A. Tizzano, Advocate General,: H. A. Rühl, Principal Administrator; Registrar, has given a judgment on 2 May 2002, in which it:

1. Declares that, by failing to draw up waste management plans for the whole of its territory, by failing to draw up, for certain regions or certain departments, such plans for waste containing polychlorinated biphenyls, medical waste and special domestic waste, and by failing to include a specific chapter relating to packaging waste in all of the waste management plans which it has adopted, the French Republic has failed to fulfil its obligations under Article 7(1) of Council Directive 75/442/EEC on 15 July 1975 on waste, as amended by Council Directive 91/156/EEC of 18 March 1991, under Article 6(1) of Council Directive 91/689/EEC of 12 December 1991 on

hazardous waste and under Article 14 of European Parliament and Council Directive 94/62/EC of 20 December 1994 on packaging and packaging waste;

2. Orders the French Republic to pay the costs.

(1) OJ C 281 of 2.10.1999.

## JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

## 23 April 2002

in Case C-443/99 (Reference for a preliminary ruling from the Oberlandesgericht Wien): Merck, Sharp & Dohme GmbH v Paranova Pharmazeutika Handels GmbH(1)

(Trade marks — Directive 89/104/EEC — Article 7(2) — Exhaustion of the rights conferred by the trade mark — Pharmaceutical products — Parallel importation — Repackaging of the trade-marked product)

(2002/C 144/04)

(Language of the case: German)

(Provisional translation; the definitive translation will be published in the European Court Reports)

In Case C-443/99: Reference to the Court under Article 234 EC by the Oberlandesgericht Wien (Austria) for a preliminary ruling in the proceedings pending before that court between Merck, Sharp & Dohme GmbH and Paranova Pharmazeutika Handels GmbH, on the interpretation of Article 7(2) of First Council Directive 89/104/EEC of 21 December 1988 to approximate the laws of the Member States relating to trade marks (OJ 1989 L 40, p. 1), as amended by the Agreement on the European Economic Area of 2 May 1992 (OJ 1994 L 1, p. 3), the Court, composed of: G.C. Rodríguez Iglesias, President, P. Jann (President of Chamber), C. Gulmann (Rapporteur), D. A. O. Edward, M. Wathelet, R. Schintgen, V. Skouris, J. N. Cunha Rodrigues and C. W. A. Timmermans, Judges; F. G. Jacobs, Advocate General; D. Louterman-Hubeau, Head of Division, for the Registrar, has given judgment on 23 April 2002, in which it has ruled: