# JUDGMENT OF THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE

of 15 November 2001

in Case T-128/99: Signal Communications Ltd v Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) (<sup>1</sup>)

(Community trade mark — Word mark TELEYE — Application accompanied by a claim of priority on the basis of the earlier mark TELEEYE — Request for correction — Substantial alteration of the mark)

(2002/C 44/20)

(Language of the case: English)

In Case T-128/99: Signal Communications Ltd, established in Hong Kong (China), represented by J. Grayston and A. Bywater, Lawyers, with an address for service in Luxembourg, against Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) (Agents: F. López de Rego and G. Humphreys) — action brought against the decision of 24 March 1999 of the First Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (Case R 219/1998-1), notified to the applicant on 25 March 1999 — the Court of First Instance (Fourth Chamber), composed of P. Mengozzi, President, R.M. Moura Ramos and V. Tiili, Judges; D. Christensen, Administrator, for the Registrar, gave a judgment on 15 November 2001, in which it:

- 1. Annuls the decision of 24 March 1999 of the First Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (Case R 219/1998-1);
- 2. Orders the Office to bear its own costs and to pay those of the applicant.

# JUDGMENT OF THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE

of 15 November 2001

in Case T-194/99: Cristiano Sebastiani v Commission of the European Communities (1)

(Officials — Promotion — Staff report — Absence — Consideration of comparative merits)

(2002/C 44/21)

(Language of the case: French)

In Case T-194/99: Cristiano Sebastiani, residing in Brussels, represented by J.-N. Louis, G.-F. Parmentier and V. Peere, lawyers, with an address for service in Luxembourg, against Commission of the European Communities (Agents: C. Berardis-Kayser and D. Waelbroeck) — application for annulment of the decision of the Commission not to promote the applicant to Grade A 6 in the 1998 promotions procedure — the Court of First Instance (Single Judge); J. Palacio González, Administrator, for the Registrar, gave a judgment on 15 November 2001, in which it:

- 1. annuls the decision of the Commission not to promote the applicant to Grade A 6 in the 1998 promotions procedure;
- 2. orders the Commission to pay the costs.

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 314 of 30.10.1999.

#### JUDGMENT OF THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE

### of 15 November 2001

in Case T-142/00: Michel Van Huffel v Commission of the European Communities (1)

(Officials — Access to internal competitions — Contracts with undertakings — Competition notice — Condition for admission requiring membership of the staff covered by the Staff Regulations)

## (2002/C 44/22)

(Language of the case: French)

In Case T-142/00: Michel Van Huffel, member of the temporary staff of the Commission of the European Communities, residing in Chaumont-Gistoux (Belgium), represented by

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 226 of 7.8.1999.