

**Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 8 June 2017 (request for a preliminary ruling from the  
Amtsgericht Wuppertal — Germany) — proceedings brought by Mircea Florian Freitag**

(Case C-541/15) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Citizenship of the Union — Article 21 TFEU — Freedom to move and reside in the Member States — Individual having the nationality of both the Member State in which he resides and the Member State in which he was born — Change of surname in the Member State of birth not carried out during a period of habitual residence — Name corresponding to birth name — Application for the entry of that name in the civil register of the Member State of residence — Rejection of that application — Reason — Name not acquired during a period of habitual residence — Existence of other procedures in national law to have that name recognised)*

(2017/C 249/06)

Language of the case: German

**Referring court**

Amtsgericht Wuppertal

**Parties to the main proceedings**

Mircea Florian Freitag

**Operative part of the judgment**

Article 21 TFEU must be interpreted as precluding the registry office of a Member State from refusing to recognise and enter in the civil register the name legally acquired by a national of that Member State in another Member State, of which he is also a national, and which is the same as his birth name, on the basis of a provision of national law which makes the possibility of having such an entry made, by declaration to the registry office, subject to the condition that that name must have been acquired during a period of habitual residence in that other Member State, unless there are other provisions of national law which effectively allow the recognition of that name.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 48, 8.2.2016.

---

**Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 1 June 2017 (request for a preliminary ruling from the  
Hessisches Finanzgericht — Germany) — Wallenborn Transports SA v Hauptzollamt Gießen**

(Case C-571/15) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Taxation — Value added tax (VAT) — External transit procedure — Goods transported through a free port located in a Member State — Legislation of that Member State excluding free ports from its national fiscal territory — Removal from customs supervision — Incurrence of a customs debt and chargeability of VAT)*

(2017/C 249/07)

Language of the case: German

**Referring court**

Hessisches Finanzgericht

**Parties to the main proceedings**

Applicant: Wallenborn Transports SA

Defendant: Hauptzollamt Gießen