

Order of the General Court of 26 June 2017 — Megasol Energie v Commission(Case T-152/16) ⁽¹⁾

(Action for annulment — Dumping — Subsidies — Imports of crystalline silicon photovoltaic modules and key components (cells) consigned from Malaysia and Taiwan — Extension to those imports of the definitive anti-dumping duty and definitive countervailing duty imposed on imports of crystalline silicon photovoltaic modules and key components (cells) originating in or consigned from China — No interest in bringing proceedings — Inadmissibility)

(2017/C 269/23)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Megasol Energie AG (Wangen an der Aare, Switzerland) (represented by: T. Wegner, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: T. Maxian Rusche, A. Demeneix and K. Blanck-Putz, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application based on Article 263 TFEU and seeking the annulment of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/184 of 11 February 2016 extending the definitive countervailing duty imposed by Council Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1239/2013 on imports of crystalline silicon photovoltaic modules and key components (i.e. cells) originating in or consigned from the People's Republic of China to imports of crystalline silicon photovoltaic modules and key components (i.e. cells) consigned from Malaysia and Taiwan, whether declared as originating in Malaysia and in Taiwan or not (OJ 2016 L 37, p. 56), and of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/185 of 11 February 2016 extending the definitive anti-dumping duty imposed by Council Regulation (EU) No 1238/2013 on imports of crystalline silicon photovoltaic modules and key components (i.e. cells) originating in or consigned from the People's Republic of China to imports of crystalline silicon photovoltaic modules and key components (i.e. cells) consigned from Malaysia and Taiwan, whether declared as originating in Malaysia and in Taiwan or not (OJ 2016 L 37, p. 76), in so far as they apply to the applicant.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as inadmissible.*
2. *Megasol Energie AG shall pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 211, 13.6.2016.

Order of the General Court of 26 June 2017 — L'Oréal v EUIPO — Guinot (MASTER SMOKY)(Case T-179/16) ⁽¹⁾

(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for EU word mark MASTER SMOKY — Prior national figurative mark MASTERS COLORS PARIS — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Action manifestly unfounded in law — Article 126 of the Rules of Procedure)

(2017/C 269/24)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: L'Oréal (Paris, France) (represented by: T. de Haan and P. Péters, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: D. Hanf, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO intervening before the General Court: Guinot (Paris) (represented by: A. Sion, lawyer)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 23 February 2016 (Case R 2905/2014-5) concerning opposition proceedings between Guinot and L'Oréal.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed.*
2. *L'Oréal shall pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 222, 20.6.2016.

Order of the General Court of 26 June 2017 — L'Oréal v EUIPO — Guinot (MASTER SHAPE)

(Case T-180/16) ⁽¹⁾

(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for EU word mark MASTER SHAPE — Prior national figurative mark MASTERS COLORS PARIS — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Action manifestly unfounded in law — Article 126 of the Rules of Procedure)

(2017/C 269/25)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: L'Oréal (Paris, France) (represented by: T. de Haan and P. Péters, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: D. Hanf, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO intervening before the General Court: Guinot (Paris) (represented by: A. Sion, lawyer)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 23 February 2016 (Case R 2907/2014-5) concerning opposition proceedings between Guinot and L'Oréal.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed.*
2. *L'Oréal shall pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 222, 20.6.2016.