



## Reports of Cases

### Order of the Court (Ninth Chamber) of 11 July 2019 — **Jadransko osiguranje**

(Case C-651/18)

(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Article 53(2) and Article 94 of the Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice — Lack of sufficient information regarding the factual and legislative context of the dispute in the main proceedings, and the reasons justifying the need for an answer to the questions referred for a preliminary ruling — Manifest inadmissibility)

*Questions referred for a preliminary ruling — Admissibility — Questions referred lacking sufficient information regarding the factual and legislative context and the reasons justifying the need for an answer to the questions referred for a preliminary ruling — Questions submitted in a context which precludes a useful answer — Manifest inadmissibility*

*(Art. 267 TFEU; Statute of the Court of Justice, Art. 23; Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice, Art. 53(2) and 94)*

*(see paras 8-14, 19, 23, 28-30, operative part)*

#### **Operative part**

The request for a preliminary ruling made by the Općinski građanski sud u Zagrebu (Municipal Civil Court, Zagreb, Croatia), by decisions of 20 July 2018 and 15 January 2019, is manifestly inadmissible.