

5. Where a judgment of the court directing the placement of the child for a specified time in a residential care institution situated in another Member State under Article 56 of Council Regulation 2201/2003 is renewed for a further specified time must the Article 56 consent of the other Member State be obtained upon the occasion of each renewal?
6. Where a judgment of the court directing the placement of the child for a specified time in a residential care institution situated in another Member state under Article 56 of Council Regulation 2201/2003 is renewed for a further specified time must the judgment be recognised and/or enforced in that other Member State upon the occasion of each renewal?

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 338, p. 1

Reference for a preliminary ruling from the Administrativen sad Sofia-grad (Bulgaria) lodged on 21 February 2012 — ET Agrokonsulting-04-Velko Stoyanov v Izpalnitelen direktor na Darzhaven fond ‘Zemedelie’ — Razplashatatelna agentsia

(Case C-93/12)

(2012/C 133/34)

Language of the case: Bulgarian

Referring court

Administrativen sad Sofia-grad

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: ET Agrokonsulting-04-Velko Stoyanov

Defendant: Izpalnitelen direktor na Darzhaven fond ‘Zemedelie’ — Razplashatatelna agentsia

Questions referred

1. Are the principle of effectiveness set out in the case-law [of the Court of Justice] of the European Union and the principle of effective judicial protection enshrined in Article 47 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union to be interpreted as not permitting a national procedural rule such as Article 133(1) of the Code of administrative procedure which makes jurisdiction for administrative disputes concerning the implementation of the European Union’s common agricultural policy dependent solely on the seat of the administrative authority which adopted the contested administrative act, considering that that rule does not take into consideration the place in which the properties are located and the place of residence of the person seeking justice?
2. Is the principle of equivalence set out in the case-law of the Court of Justice of the European Union to be interpreted as

not permitting a national procedural rule such as Article 133(1) of the Code of administrative procedure which makes jurisdiction for administrative disputes concerning the implementation of the European Union’s common agricultural policy dependent solely on the seat of the administrative authority which adopted the contested administrative act, if account is taken of paragraph 19 of the transitional and final provisions of the Law amending and supplementing the Code of Administrative Procedure (which concerns jurisdiction for domestic administrative disputes concerning agricultural land)?

Reference for a preliminary ruling from the Verwaltungsgericht Stuttgart (Germany) lodged on 27 February 2012 — Herbert Schaible v Land Baden-Württemberg

(Case C-101/12)

(2012/C 133/35)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

Verwaltungsgericht Stuttgart

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Herbert Schaible

Defendant: Land Baden-Württemberg

Questions referred

The questions whether

- (a) the claimant’s obligation to identify individual animals under Articles 3(1) and 4(2) of Regulation (EC) No 21/2004, ⁽¹⁾
- (b) the claimant’s obligation of electronic identification of individual animals under the first subparagraph of Article 9(3) of Regulation (EC) No 21/2004 as amended by Regulation (EC) No 1560/2007, ⁽²⁾ and
- (c) the claimant’s obligation to keep holding register C in accordance with Article 5(1) in conjunction with point B(2) of the Annex to Regulation (EC) No 21/2004

are compatible with higher-ranking European Union law and therefore valid are referred to the Court of Justice of the European Union.

⁽¹⁾ Council Regulation (EC) No 21/2004 of 17 December 2003 establishing a system for the identification and registration of ovine and caprine animals and amending Regulation (EC) No 1782/2003 and Directives 92/102/EEC and 64/432/EEC (OJ 2004 L 5, p. 8).

⁽²⁾ Council Regulation (EC) No 1560/2007 of 17 December 2007 amending Regulation (EC) No 21/2004 as regards the date of introduction of electronic identification for ovine and caprine animals (OJ 2007 L 340, p. 25).