### Question referred

Are the provisions of Article 1 of the Third Motor Insurance Directive (¹) to be interpreted as meaning that, in the event of a road-traffic accident ... Portuguese civil law — and in particular Articles 503(1), 504, 505 and 570 of the Civil Code — may not exclude or limit the right to compensation of a child, himself a victim of the accident, on the sole ground that that child was partly, or even exclusively, responsible for the loss caused?

(1) Third Council Directive 90/232/EEC of 14 May 1990 on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to insurance against civil liability in respect of the use of motor vehicles (O) 1990 L 129, p. 33)

Reference for a preliminary ruling from Court of Appeal (Civil Division) (England and Wales) made on 28 October 2009 — Generics (UK) Ltd v Synaptech Inc

(Case C-427/09)

(2010/C 11/29)

Language of the case: English

### Referring court

Court of Appeal (Civil Division) (England and Wales)

### Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Generics (UK) Ltd

Defendant: Synaptech Inc

## Questions referred

- 1. For the purposes of Article 13(1) of Council Regulation (EEC) No 1768/92 (¹), is the 'first authorisation to place the product on the market in the Community' the first authorisation to place the product on the market in the Community which was issued in accordance with Council Directive 65/65/EEC (²) (now replaced with Directive 2001/83/EC (³)) or will any authorisation that enables the product to be placed on the market in the Community or EEA suffice?
- 2. If, for the purposes of Article 13(1) of Council Regulation (EEC) No 1768/92, an 'authorisation to place the product on the market in the Community' must have been issued in

accordance with Directive 65/65/EEC (now replaced with Directive 2001/83/EC), is an authorisation that was granted in 1963 in Austria in accordance with the national legislation in force at that time (which did not comply with the requirements of Directive 65/65/EEC) and that was never amended to comply with Directive 65/65/EEC and was ultimately withdrawn in 2001 to be treated as an authorisation granted in accordance with Directive 65/65/EEC for that purpose?

- (¹) Council Regulation (EEC) No 1768/92 of 18 June 1992 concerning the creation of a supplementary protection certificate for medicinal products
   OJ L 182, p. 1
- (2) Council Directive 65/65/EEC of 26 January 1965 on the approximation of provisions laid down by Law, Regulation or Administrative Action relating to proprietary medicinal products
- OJ 22, p. 369
  (3) Directive 2001/83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 November 2001 on the Community code relating to medicinal products for human use

OJ L 311, p. 67

Reference for a preliminary ruling from Supreme Court of the United Kingdom made on 5 November 2009 — Shirley McCarthy v Secretary of State for the Home Department

(Case C-434/09)

(2010/C 11/30)

Language of the case: English

### Referring court

Supreme Court of the United Kingdom

### Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Shirley McCarthy

Defendant: Secretary of State for the Home Department

# Questions referred

 Is a person of dual Irish and United Kingdom nationality who has resided in the United Kingdom for her entire life a 'beneficiary' within the meaning of Article 3 of Directive 2004/38/EC (¹) of the European Parliament and of the Council ('the Directive')?

- 2. Has such a person 'resided legally' within the host Member State for the purpose of Article 16 of the Directive in circumstances where she was unable to satisfy the requirements of Article 7 of Directive 2004/38/EC?
- (1) Directive 2004/38/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on the right of citizens of the Union and their family members to move and reside freely within the territory of the Member States amending Regulation (EEC) No 1612/68 and repealing Directives 64/221/EEC, 68/360/EEC, 72/194/EEC, 73/148/EEC, 75/34/EEC, 75/35/EEC, 90/364/EEC, 90/365/EEC and 93/96/EEC
  OJ L 158, p. 77

Order of the President of the Court of 11 August 2009 (reference for a preliminary ruling from the Cour d'Appel de Bruxelles (Belgium)) — AXA Belgium SA v État Belge, Administration de la TVA, de l'enregistrement et des domains (État Belge), Administration de l'inspection spéciale des impôts, inspection de Mons 3 (État Belge)

(Case C-168/07) (1)

(2010/C 11/31)

Language of the case: French

The President of the Court has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

(1) OJ C 129, 9.6.2007.

Order of the President of the Court of 25 August 2009 — Commission of the European Communities v Republic of Poland

(Case C-193/07) (1)

(2010/C 11/32)

Language of the case: Polish

The President of the Court has ordered that the case be removed from the register. Order of the President of the Court of 17 September 2009

— Commission of the European Communities v Republic

of Poland

(Case C-309/08) (1)

(2010/C 11/33)

Language of the case: Polish

The President of Court has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

(1) OJ C 247, 27.9.2008.

Order of the President of the Court of 17 September 2009 — Commission of the European Communities v Hellenic Republic

(Case C-357/08) (1)

(2010/C 11/34)

Language of the case: Greek

The President of the Court has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

(1) OJ C 247, 27.9.2008.

Order of the President of the Fifth Chamber of the Court of 23 September 2009 — Commission of the European Communities v Portuguese Republic

(Case C-397/08) (1)

(2010/C 11/35)

Language of the case: Portuguese

The President of the Fifth Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 199, 25.8.2007.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 272, 25.10.2008.