EN

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION

of 10 April 2012

allowing Member States to extend provisional authorisations granted for the new active substances amisulbrom, chlorantraniliprole, meptyldinocap, pinoxaden, silver thiosulphate and tembotrione

(notified under document C(2012) 2259)

(Text with EEA relevance)

(2012/191/EU)

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Directive 91/414/EEC of 15 July 1991 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market (¹), and in particular the fourth subparagraph of Article 8(1) thereof,

Having regard to Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC (²), and in particular Article 80(1)(a) thereof,

Whereas:

- In accordance with Article 80(1)(a) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, Directive 91/414/EEC shall continue to apply to active substances for which a decision has been adopted in accordance with Article 6(3) of Directive 91/414/EEC before 14 June 2011.
- (2) In accordance with Article 6(2) of Directive 91/414/EEC, in March 2006 the United Kingdom received an application from Nissan Chemical Europe SARL for the inclusion of the active substance amisulbrom in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC. Commission Decision 2007/669/EC (³) confirmed that the dossier was complete and could be considered as satisfying, in principle, the data and information requirements of Annex II and Annex III to that Directive.
- (3) In accordance with Article 6(2) of Directive 91/414/EEC, in February 2007 Ireland received an application from DuPont International Operations SARL for the inclusion of the active substance chlorantraniliprole in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC. Commission Decision 2007/560/EC (⁴) confirmed that the dossier was complete and could be considered as satisfying, in principle, the data and information requirements of Annex II and Annex III to that Directive.
- ⁽¹⁾ OJ L 230, 19.8.1991, p. 1.

- (4) In accordance with Article 6(2) of Directive 91/414/EEC, in August 2005 the United Kingdom received an application from Dow Agrosciences for the inclusion of the active substance meptyldinocap in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC. Commission Decision 2006/589/EC (⁵) confirmed that the dossier was complete and could be considered as satisfying, in principle, the data and information requirements of Annex II and Annex III to that Directive.
- (5) In accordance with Article 6(2) of Directive 91/414/EEC, in March 2004 the United Kingdom received an application from Syngenta Ltd for the inclusion of the active substance pinoxaden in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC. Commission Decision 2005/459/EC (⁶) confirmed that the dossier was complete and could be considered as satisfying, in principle, the data and information requirements of Annex II and Annex III to that Directive.
- (6) In accordance with Article 6(2) of Directive 91/414/EEC, in January 2003 the Netherlands received an application from Enhold BV for the inclusion of the active substance silver thiosulphate in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC. Commission Decision 2003/850/EC (⁷) confirmed that the dossier was complete and could be considered as satisfying, in principle, the data and information requirements of Annex II and Annex III to that Directive.
- (7) In accordance with Article 6(2) of Directive 91/414/EEC, in November 2005 Austria received an application from Bayer CropScience AG for the inclusion of the active substance tembotrione in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC. Commission Decision 2006/586/EC (⁸) confirmed that the dossier was complete and could be considered as satisfying, in principle, the data and information requirements of Annex II and Annex III to that Directive.
- (8) Confirmation of the completeness of the dossiers was necessary in order to allow them to be examined in detail and to allow Member States the possibility of granting provisional authorisations, for periods of up to three years, for plant protection products containing the active substances concerned, while complying with the conditions laid down in Article 8(1) of Directive

⁽²⁾ OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1.

^{(&}lt;sup>3</sup>) OJ L 274, 18.10.2007, p. 15.

^{(&}lt;sup>4</sup>) OJ L 213, 15.8.2007, p. 29.

^{(&}lt;sup>5</sup>) OJ L 240, 2.9.2006, p. 9.

^{(&}lt;sup>6</sup>) OJ L 160, 23.6.2005, p. 32.

^{(&}lt;sup>7</sup>) OJ L 322, 9.12.2003, p. 28.

⁽⁸⁾ OJ L 236, 31.8.2006, p. 31.

91/414/EEC and, in particular, the conditions relating to the detailed assessment of the active substances and the plant protection products in the light of the requirements laid down by that Directive.

- (9) For these active substances, the effects on human health and the environment have been assessed, in accordance with the provisions of Article 6(2) and (4) of Directive 91/414/EEC, for the uses proposed by the applicants. The rapporteur Member States submitted the respective draft assessment reports to the Commission on 15 July 2008 (amisulbrom), on 11 February 2009 (chlorantraniliprole), on 25 October 2006 (meptyldinocap), on 30 November 2005 (pinoxaden), on 9 November 2005 (silver thiosulphate) and on 2 February 2007 (tembotrione).
- (10)Following submission of the draft assessment reports by the rapporteur Member States, it has been found to be necessary to request further information from the applicants and to have the rapporteur Member States examine that information and submit their assessment. Therefore, the examination of the dossiers is still ongoing and it will not be possible to complete the evaluation within the time-frame provided for in Directive 91/414/EEC, read in conjunction with Commission Decisions 2010/353/EU (1) (amisulbrom, chlorantraniliprole, meptyldinocap and pinoxaden) and 2010/149/EU (2) (silver thiosulphate and tembotrione).
- (11) As the evaluation so far has not identified any reason for immediate concern, Member States should be given the possibility of prolonging provisional authorisations granted for plant protection products containing the active substances concerned for a period of 24 months in accordance with the provisions of Article 8 of Directive 91/414/EEC so as to enable the examination of the dossiers to continue. It is expected that the

evaluation and decision-making process with respect to a decision on a possible approval in accordance with Article 13(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 for amisulbrom, chlorantraniliprole, meptyldinocap, pinoxaden, silver thiosulphate and tembotrione will have been completed within 24 months.

(12) The measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

Member States may extend provisional authorisations for plant protection products containing amisulbrom, chlorantraniliprole, meptyldinocap, pinoxaden, silver thiosulphate or tembotrione for a period ending on 31 May 2014 at the latest.

Article 2

This Decision shall expire on 31 May 2014.

Article 3

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 10 April 2012.

For the Commission John DALLI Member of the Commission

^{(&}lt;sup>1</sup>) OJ L 160, 26.6.2010, p. 26.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 60, 10.3.2010, p. 24.