- 4. Fourth plea in law, alleging breach of the duty of care, staff welfare and of Articles 21 and 31 of Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU.
- 5. Fifth plea in law, alleging that the statement of reasons is not well founded.

# Action brought on 25 October 2021 — energy cake v EUIPO — Foodtastic (ENERGY CAKE) (Case T-686/21)

(2021/C 513/46)

Language in which the application was lodged: German

#### **Parties**

Applicant: energy cake GmbH (Vienna, Austria) (represented by: A. Bernegger, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Foodtastic GmbH (Dortmund, Germany)

### Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Proprietor of the trade mark at issue: Applicant

Trade mark at issue: European Union word mark 'ENERGY CAKE' — European Union trade mark No 14 808 935

Procedure before EUIPO: Proceedings for a declaration of invalidity

Contested decision: Decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 11 August 2021 in Case R 2324/2020-5

### Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

### Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 59(1)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) and (c) in conjunction with Article 7(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

## Action brought on 25 October 2021 — BNP Paribas Public Sector v SRB

(Case T-688/21)

(2021/C 513/47)

Language of the case: French

#### Parties

Applicant: BNP Paribas Public Sector SA (Paris, France) (represented by: A. Champsaur and A. Delors, lawyers)

Defendant: Single Resolution Board (SRB)