EN

Other parties to the proceedings: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO), Samsung Electronics GmbH

By order of 24 March 2021, the Court of Justice (Chamber determining whether appeals may proceed) held that the appeal was not allowed to proceed and that smart things solutions GmbH should bear its own costs.

Appeal brought on 26 January 2021 by Allergan Holdings France against the judgment of the General Court (Third Chamber) delivered on 18 November 2020 in Case T-664/19, Allergan Holdings France v EUIPO — Dermavita (JUVEDERM ULTRA)

(Case C-41/21 P)

(2021/C 228/17)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Appellant: Allergan Holdings France (represented by: T. de Haan, avocat, and J. Day, Solicitor)

Other parties to the proceedings: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO), Dermavita Co. Ltd

By order of 29 April 2021, the Court of Justice (Chamber determining whether appeals may proceed) held that the appeal was not allowed to proceed and that Allergan Holdings France should bear its own costs.

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunal Superior de Justicia de Cataluña (Spain) lodged on 29 January 2021 — Prestige and Limousine, S.L. v Área Metropolitana de Barcelona

(Case C-50/21)

(2021/C 228/18)

Language of the case: Spanish

Referring court

Tribunal Superior de Justicia de Cataluña

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Prestige and Limousine, S.L.

Defendant: Área Metropolitana de Barcelona

Questions referred

- 1. Do Article 49 and Article 107(1) TFEU preclude national laws statutory and regulatory provisions which, without any reasonable justification, limit PHV (¹) licences to one for every 30 taxi licences or fewer?
- 2. Do Article 49 and Article 107(1) TFEU preclude a rule of national law which, without any reasonable justification, requires a second licence and the fulfilment of additional requirements for PHVs wishing to provide urban services?

⁽¹⁾ Private hire vehicle.