

Action brought on 24 September 2020 — MN v Europol**(Case T-586/20)**

(2020/C 433/69)

*Language of the case: French***Parties***Applicant:* MN (represented by: S. Orlandi and T. Martin, lawyers)*Defendant:* The European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Europol)**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the decision of 6 March 2020 not to renew the applicant's contract for an indefinite period;
- order Europol to pay the applicant the sum of EUR 25 000 for the non-material harm suffered by the applicant as a result of the contested decision;
- order Europol to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on two pleas in law.

1. First plea in law, alleging illegality of the criterion used to justify the non-renewal of the applicant's contract for an indefinite period, in that it does not allow the interests of the service to be identified.
2. Second plea in law, in the alternative, alleging multiple manifest errors of assessment vitiating the contested decision.

Action brought on 24 September 2020 — MO v Council**(Case T-587/20)**

(2020/C 433/70)

*Language of the case: French***Parties***Applicant:* MO (represented by: A. Guillerme, lawyer)*Defendant:* Council of the European Union**Conclusions**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the decision of the appointing authority of 19 November 2019 to reassign MO to the Romanian language translation unit;
- annul the applicant's 2019 appraisal report;
- declare the conduct of the administration towards her since 2016 unlawful and order the defendant to pay EUR 277 371,36 for the harm suffered;
- order the defendant to pay the costs.