#### Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 18 of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Infringement of Rule 22 of Commission Regulation (EC) 2868/95.

# Action brought on 17 April 2020 — Residencial Palladium v EUIPO — Fiesta Hotels & Resorts (PALLADIUM HOTELS & RESORTS)

(Case T-207/20)

(2020/C 201/61)

Language in which the application was lodged: Spanish

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Residencial Palladium, SL (Ibiza, Spain) (represented by: D. Solana Giménez, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Fiesta Hotels & Resorts, SL (Ibiza)

## Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Proprietor of the trade mark at issue: Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

Trade mark at issue: Figurative mark PALLADIUM HOTELS & RESORTS — European Union trade mark No 2 915 304

Procedure before EUIPO: Cancellation proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 12 February 2020 in Case R 231/2019-4

#### Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul and declare inapplicable the contested decision and order EUIPO to proceed with the application for a declaration of invalidity brought by Residencial Palladium;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

### Plea in law

Infringement of Article 60(4) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council

Action brought on 21 April 2020 — Fidia farmaceutici v EUIPO — Ioulia and Irene Tseti Pharmaceutial Laboratories (HYAL)

(Case T-215/20)

(2020/C 201/62)

Language of the case: English

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Fidia farmaceutici SpA (Abano Terme, Italy) (represented by: R. Kunz-Hallstein and H. Kunz-Hallstein, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Ioulia and Irene Tseti Pharmaceutial Laboratories SA (Athens, Greece)

## Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Proprietor of the trade mark at issue: Applicant before the General Court

Trade mark at issue: European Union word mark HYAL — European Union trade mark No 2 430 221

Procedure before EUIPO: Cancellation proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 24 January 2020 in Case R 613/2019-5

## Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs; in the alternative, if the other party before the Board of Appeal intervenes, order EUIPO and the intervener jointly and severally to pay the costs.

### Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 165(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Infringement of Articles 32(f) and 39(5) of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/625;
- Infringement of Article 94(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Infringement of Article 95(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Infringement of Article 59(1) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Infringement of Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 14 April 2020 — Alkattan v Council

(Case T-218/20)

(2020/C 201/63)

Language of the case: French

## Parties

Applicant: Waseem Alkattan (Damas, Syria) (represented by: G. Karouni, lawyer)

Defendant: Council of the European Union