

— order EUIPO to pay the costs.

#### **Pleas in law**

- Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Serious distortion of the facts.

---

### **Action brought on 10 April 2020 — Eternit v EUIPO — Eternit Österreich (Panels)**

**(Case T-193/20)**

(2020/C 201/50)

*Language of the case: English*

#### **Parties**

*Applicant:* Eternit (Kapelle-op-den-Bos, Belgium) (represented by: J. Muyldermans and P. Maeyaert, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal:* Eternit Österreich GmbH (Vöcklabruck, Austria)

#### **Details of the proceedings before EUIPO**

*Proprietor of the design at issue:* Applicant before the General Court

*Design at issue:* European Union design No 2 538 140-0001

*Contested decision:* Decision of the Third Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 5 February 2020 in Case R 1661/2018-3

#### **Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO and the intervener to bear their own costs and to pay those incurred by the applicant.

#### **Plea in law**

- Infringement of Article 6 of Council Regulation (EC) No 6/2002.

---

### **Action brought on 27 March 2020 — JF v EUCAP Somalia**

**(Case T-194/20)**

(2020/C 201/51)

*Language of the case: English*

#### **Parties**

*Applicant:* JF (represented by: A. Kunst, lawyer)

*Defendant:* EUCAP Somalia (Mogadishu, Somalia)