

Action brought on 19 March 2020 — IZ v Parliament**(Case T-155/20)**

(2020/C 201/40)

*Language of the case: French***Parties***Applicant:* IZ (represented by: T. Bontinck and A. Guillerme, lawyers)*Defendant:* European Parliament**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the General Court should:

Principally:

- annul the decision of 4 July 2019 dismissing the applicant;
- order the European Parliament to pay compensation in the sum of EUR 20 000 in respect of the non-material harm suffered;
- order the European Parliament to pay the costs;

In the alternative:

- find that the decision dissolving the political group ENF was unlawful;
- accordingly, annul the decision of 4 July 2019 dismissing the applicant;
- order the European Parliament to pay compensation in the sum of EUR 20 000 in respect of the non-material harm suffered;
- order the European Parliament to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies, principally, on five pleas in law that are identical or similar to those relied upon in Case T-154/20, *IY v Parliament*.

In the alternative, the applicant pleads the unlawfulness of the decision dissolving the European political group ENF. The applicant argues that since the dissolution decision was unlawful, as it was vitiated by a manifest error of assessment and a misuse of powers, the dismissal decision — which was based exclusively on that dissolution — is itself, therefore, unlawful and must be annulled.

Action brought on 19 March 2020 — JA v Parliament**(Case T-156/20)**

(2020/C 201/41)

*Language of the case: French***Parties***Applicant:* JA (represented by: T. Bontinck and A. Guillerme, lawyers)*Defendant:* European Parliament

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the General Court should:

Principally:

- annul the decision of 4 July 2019 dismissing the applicant;
- order the European Parliament to pay compensation in the sum of EUR 20 000 in respect of the non-material harm suffered;
- order the European Parliament to pay the costs;

In the alternative:

- find that the decision dissolving the political group ENF was unlawful;
- accordingly, annul the decision of 4 July 2019 dismissing the applicant;
- order the European Parliament to pay compensation in the sum of EUR 20 000 in respect of the non-material harm suffered;
- order the European Parliament to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies, principally, on five pleas in law that are identical or similar to those relied upon in Case T-154/20, *IY v Parliament*.

In the alternative, the applicant pleads the unlawfulness of the decision dissolving the European political group ENF. The applicant argues that since the dissolution decision was unlawful, as it was vitiated by a manifest error of assessment and a misuse of powers, the dismissal decision — which was based exclusively on that dissolution — is itself, therefore, unlawful and must be annulled.

Action brought on 23 March 2020 — JB v Cedefop

(Case T-159/20)

(2020/C 201/42)

Language of the case: Greek

Parties

Applicant: JB (represented by: V. Christianos, lawyer)

Defendant: European Centre for the Development of Vocational Training ('CEDEFOP')

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul CEDEFOP's implied rejection decision of 19 January 2020;
- order CEDEFOP to pay to the applicant the total sum of EUR 442 276,78.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on four pleas in law.

1. First plea in law, alleging infringement of Article 41 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union.