3. May a national court temporarily maintain the effects of legislation enabling the officials of an independent administrative authority responsible for investigating market abuse to obtain connection data without prior review by a court or another independent administrative authority?

(¹) OJ 2003 L 96, p. 16.

(2) Régulation (EU) No 596/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014 on market abuse (market abuse regulation) and repealing Directive 2003/6/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and Commission Directives 2003/124/EC, 2003/125/EC and 2004/72/EC (OJ 2014 L 173, p. 1).

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Krajský soud v Brně (Czech Republic) lodged on 20 August 2020 — ELVOSPOL v Odvolací finanční ředitelství

(Case C-398/20)

(2020/C 359/12)

Language of the case: Czech

Referring court

Krajský soud v Brně

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: ELVOSPOL

Defendant: Odvolací finanční ředitelství

Question referred

Is national legislation contrary to the purpose of Article 90(1) and (2) of Council Directive $2006/112/EC(^1)$ of 28 November 2006 on the common system of value added tax if it lays down a condition preventing value added taxpayers, where tax becomes chargeable on a taxable supply to another taxpayer who paid for the supply only in part or not at all, from making a correction to the amount of output tax in respect of the value of the claim if that claim arose less than six months before a court decision declaring the other taxpayer insolvent?

(1) OJ 2006 L 347, p. 1.

Appeal brought on 1 September 2020 by Danilo Poggiolini against the order of the General Court (Eighth Chamber) delivered on 3 July 2020 in Joined Cases T-347/19 and T-348/19, Enrico Falqui and Danilo Poggiolini v European Parliament

(Case C-408/20 P)

(2020/C 359/13)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Appellant: Danilo Poggiolini (represented by: F. Sorrentino, A. Sandulli, B. Cimino, avvocati)

Other party to the proceedings: European Parliament

Form of order sought

The appellant claims that the Court should:

declare admissible Mr Poggiolini's action for annulment of (i) Note No D(2019) 14435 of 11 April 2019 of the European Parliament Directorate-General for Finance and (ii) Note D309419 of 8 July 2019 of the European Parliament Directorate-General for Finance — Directorate for Member's Financial and Social Entitlements — Members' Salaries and Social Entitlements Unit — Head of Unit; consequently, annul those notes or refer the case back to the General Court of the European Union for judgment;