

Action brought on 27 June 2019 — Catasta v Parliament**(Case T-393/19)**

(2019/C 280/59)

*Language of the case: Italian***Parties***Applicant:* Anna Catasta (Milan, Italy) (represented by: M. Merola, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Parliament**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- declare non-existent or annul in its entirety the measure of which the applicant was informed by means of the contested communication, in which the European Parliament re-determined retirement pension rights and ordered recovery of the amount paid on the basis of the earlier pension calculation;
- order the European Parliament to refund all the sums unduly withheld, and to pay statutory interest from the date of withholding to the date of payment and order the European Parliament to implement the judgment and undertake all the necessary initiatives, acts or measures to ensure the immediate, full re-establishment of the original pension amount;
- order the European Parliament to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those relied on in Case T-389/19, *Coppo Gavazzi v Parliament*.

Action brought on 28 June 2019 — Zecchino v Parliament**(Case T-394/19)**

(2019/C 280/60)

*Language of the case: Italian***Parties***Applicant:* Ortensio Zecchino (Ariano Irpino, Italy) (represented by: M. Merola, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Parliament**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- declare non-existent or annul in its entirety the measure of which the applicant was informed by means of the contested communication, in which the European Parliament re-determined retirement pension rights and ordered recovery of the amount paid on the basis of the earlier pension calculation;