

**Action brought on 8 April 2019 — AW v Parliament****(Case T-213/19)**

(2019/C 187/91)

*Language of the case: French***Parties***Applicant:* AW (represented by: L. Levi and S. Rodrigues, lawyers)*Defendant:* European Parliament**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- declare the present action admissible and well founded;
- annul the decisions dated 7 August 2018 adopted by the defendant, which reject the applicant's requests for recognition of the occupational origin of two diseases (neck pain and stress-related urticaria) and, so far as necessary, annul the decision of 19 February 2019 by which the defendant rejected the complaint brought on 16 October 2018 by the applicant against the decisions of 7 August 2018;
- order the defendant to pay all the costs.

**Pleas in law and main arguments**

In support of the action, the applicant relies on two pleas in law.

1. First plea in law, alleging the infringement of Article 22(3) of the Common rules on the insurance of officials of the European Communities against the risk of accident and of occupational disease ('the Common Rules'), on account of procedural irregularities committed by the medical committee.
2. Second plea in law, alleging the infringement of Article 22 of the Common Rules in conjunction with the infringement of Article 41 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, inasmuch as the medical committee did not act independently, but on the Parliament's instructions.

---

**Action brought on 9 April 2019 — Vinos de Arganza v EUIPO — Nordbrand Nordhausen (ENCANTO)****(Case T-239/19)**

(2019/C 187/92)

*Language of the case: English***Parties***Applicant:* Vinos de Arganza, SL (Torale de los Vados, Spain) (represented by: L. Broschat García, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)