# Action brought on 22 October 2018 — Super bock group, SGPS v EUIPO — Agus (Crystal) (Case T-648/18)

(2019/C 16/66)

Language of the case: English

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Super bock group, SGPS SA (Leça do Balio, Portugal) (represented by: J. Mioludo, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Agus sp. z o.o. (Warsaw, Poland)

## Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant of the trade mark at issue: Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

Trade mark at issue: Application for European Union word mark Crystal — Application for registration No 15 016 728

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 23 July 2018 in Case R 299/2018-2

## Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- refuse EU Registration No 15 016 728 Crystal for all the covered goods;
- order EUIPO and AGUS sp. z o.o. to bear their own costs and pay those of the appellant.

#### Plea in law

- Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council

Action brought on 29 October 2018 — Reaktor Group v EUIPO (REAKTOR)
(Case T-650/18)

(2019/C 16/67)

Language of the case: Finnish

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Reaktor Group Oy (Helsinki, Finland) (represented by: L. Laaksonen, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

## Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Trade mark at issue: Application for registration of the word mark REAKTOR as a European Union trade mark — Application for registration No 13 752 522

Contested decision: Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 27 August 2018 in Case R 2626/2017-2

# Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision of the Second Board of Appeal of the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) of 27 August 2018 in Case R 2626/2017-2 in so far as the Second Board of Appeal, by the contested decision, dismissed EU trade mark application 13752522 REAKTOR ('the REAKTOR mark') for certain goods and services applied for in classes 9, 41 and 42 on the basis of Article 7(1)(b) and (c) of the EU Trade Mark Regulation; and allow the application concerning the REAKTOR mark to be published and registered in its entirety for all the goods and services applied for;
- order EUIPO to pay the applicant the costs incurred in respect of the appeal before the General Court of the European Union and the Board of Appeal;

#### Plea in law

— Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) and (c) of Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 12 November 2018 — Soundio v EUIPO — E-Plus Mobilfunk (Vibble)
(Case T-665/18)

(2019/C 16/68)

Language of the case: English

#### Parties

Applicant: Soundio A/S (Drammen, Norway) (represented by: N. Köster and J. Albers, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: E-Plus Mobilfunk GmbH (Düsseldorf, Germany)

## Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant of the trade mark at issue: Applicant before the General Court

Trade mark at issue: International registration designating the European Union in respect of the mark Vibble — International registration designating the European Union No 1 290 194

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 4 September 2018 in Case R 721/2018-5