Plea in law

- Breach of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 15 May 2017 — VSM v EUIPO (WE KNOW ABRASIVES)

(Case T-297/17)

(2017/C 231/53)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: VSM . Vereinigte Schmirgel- und Maschinen-Fabriken AG (Hanover, Germany) (represented by: M. Horak, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Trade mark at issue: EU word mark 'WE KNOW ABRASIVES' - Application for registration No 15 063 522

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 6 March 2017 in Case R 1595/2016-4

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

Pleas in law

- Breach of Article 7(1)(b), in conjunction with Article 7(2), of Regulation No 207/2009;
- Breach of Article 63(1), in conjunction with Articles 58 and 59, of Regulation No 207/2009, breach of Article 63(2) of Regulation No 207/2009, and thus infringement of the principle of audi alteram partem.

Action brought on 16 May 2017 — Sata v EUIPO — Zhejiang Rongpeng Air Tools (1000)

(Case T-299/17)

(2017/C 231/54)

Language in which the application was lodged: German

Parties

Applicant: Sata GmbH & Co. KG (Kornwestheim, Germany) (represented by: M.-C. Simon, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Zhejiang Rongpeng Air Tools Co. Ltd (Pengjie Town, China)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Proprietor of the trade mark at issue: Applicant

Trade mark at issue: The sign '1000' — EU trade mark No 12 333 531

Procedure before EUIPO: Invalidity proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 6 March 2017 in Case R 650/2016-4

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs;
- in the event that the respondent before the Board of Appeal decides to intervene in the proceedings, order that intervener to pay the costs.

Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 75 of Regulation No 207/2009;
- Infringement of Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation No 207/2009;
- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009;
- Infringement of the principle of equal treatment and the principle of sound administration.

Action brought on 17 May 2017 — Sata v EUIPO — Zhejiang Rongpeng Air Tools (3000) (Case T-300/17)

(2017/C 231/55)

Language in which the application was lodged: German

Parties

Applicant: Sata GmbH & Co. KG (Kornwestheim, Germany) (represented by: M.-C. Simon, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Zhejiang Rongpeng Air Tools Co. Ltd (Pengjie Town, China)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Proprietor of the trade mark at issue: Applicant

Trade mark at issue: The sign '3000' - EU trade mark No 12 511 119

Procedure before EUIPO: Invalidity proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 8 March 2017 in Case R 653/2016-4

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs;
- in the event that the respondent before the Board of Appeal decides to intervene in the proceedings, order that intervener to pay the costs.

Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 75 of Regulation No 207/2009;
- Infringement of Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation No 207/2009;