Action brought on 6 February 2017 — Steiniger v EUIPO — ista Deutschland (IST) (Case T-80/17)

(2017/C 112/59)

Language in which the application was lodged: German

Parties

Applicant: Ingo Steiniger (Nümbrecht, Germany) (represented by: K. Schulze Horn, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: ista Deutschland GmbH (Essen, Germany)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant for registration of the trade mark at issue: The applicant

Trade mark at issue: EU figurative mark containing the word element 'IST' — Application for registration No 10 673 812

Proceedings before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 1 December 2016 in Case R 2242/2015-5

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision and the decision of the Opposition Division of EUIPO of 24 September 2015;
- vary the contested decision in such a way that the objection is rejected in its entirety;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs, including the costs incurred in the course of the appeal proceedings.

Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 8 of Regulation No 207/2009;
- Infringement of Rule 20(6) of Regulation No 2868/95.

Action brought on 7 February 2017 — Erwin Müller v EUIPO — Novus Tablet Technology Finland (NOVUS)

(Case T-89/17)

(2017/C 112/60)

Language in which the application was lodged: German

Parties

Applicant: Erwin Müller GmbH (Lingen, Germany) (represented by: N. Grüger, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Novus Tablet Technology Finland Oy (Turku, Finland)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant: Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

Trade mark at issue: EU word mark 'NOVUS' — Application for registration No 13 206 611