the main proceedings, which excluded from coverage under compulsory insurance against civil liability with respect to the use of motor vehicles and, therefore, compensation by means of that insurance the personal injuries and property damage sustained by a pedestrian victim of a motor vehicle accident, on the sole ground that that pedestrian was the insurance policy-holder and the owner of the vehicle that caused those injuries and that damage.

(1) OJ C 454, 5.12.2016.

Appeal brought on 29 March 2017 by Anton Riemerschmid Weinbrennerei und Likörfabrik GmbH & Co. KG against the judgment of the General Court (Second Chamber) delivered on 25 January 2017 in Case T-187/16: Anton Riemerschmid Weinbrennerei und Likörfabrik GmbH & Co. KG v European Union Intellectual Property Office

(Case C-158/17 P)

(2017/C 382/30)

Language of the case: English

### **Parties**

Appellant: Anton Riemerschmid Weinbrennerei und Likörfabrik GmbH & Co. KG (represented by: P. Koch, abogada)

Other party to the proceedings: European Union Intellectual Property Office

By order of 20 September 2017 the Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber) held that the appeal was inadmissible.

Appeal brought on 12 April 2017 by Salvo Asenov Todorov against the order of the General Court (Ninth Chamber) delivered on 14 March 2017 in Case T-839/16 Todorov v Court of Justice of the European Union

(Case C-188/17 P)

(2017/C 382/31)

Language of the case: Bulgarian

### **Parties**

Appellant: Slavo Asenov Todorov (represented by: K. Mladenova, advokat)

Other party to the proceedings: Court of Justice of the European Union

The Court of Justice (Tenth Chamber) declared this appeal manifestly admissible by order of 7 September 2017.

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunal Superior de Justicia de Castilla-La Mancha (Spain) lodged on 11 May 2017 — Pedro Viejobueno Ibáñez and Emilia de la Vara González v Consejería de Educación de Castilla-La Mancha

(Case C-245/17)

(2017/C 382/32)

Language of the case: Spanish

## Referring court

Tribunal Superior de Justicia de Castilla-La Mancha

# Parties to the main proceedings

Appellants: Pedro Viejobueno Ibáñez and Emilia de la Vara González

Respondent: Consejería de Educación de Castilla-La Mancha

## Questions referred

The following questions arise:

- 1. may the ending of the teaching period in the academic year be regarded as an objective ground justifying different treatment for the above-mentioned interim teaching staff as compared with established teaching staff?
- 2. is the fact that interim civil-servant teaching staff whose employment is terminated at the end of the teaching period cannot take their leave on actual rest days, but are paid the remuneration corresponding thereto, compatible with the principle that such interim staff should not be discriminated against?
- 3. is an abstract rule like that contained in the Thirteenth Additional Provision of Ley 5/2012, de 12 de julio, de Presupuestos Generales de la Junta de Comunidades de Castilla-La Mancha para 2012 (Regional Law 5/2012 of 12 July 2012, on General Budgets of the Government of the Autonomous Community of Castilla-La Mancha for 2012), which, among other measures, for reasons of budgetary savings and the fulfilment of deficit objectives, suspended the application of an Agreement dated 10 March 1994 between the Ministry of Education and Science and the ANPE Trade Union, published by means of a Resolution of 15 March 1994 of the Directorate General of Personnel (BOMEC of 28 March 1994), so far as concerns paid leave in July and August for replacements of more than five-and-a-half months and for coverage of vacant posts, and required payment to be made to interim non-university teaching staff in respect of leave corresponding to 22 working days if the interim appointment was for a full academic year, or for the days corresponding thereto on a proportional basis, compatible with the principle that there should be no discrimination against such staff, who fall within the category of fixed-term workers?

Request for a preliminary ruling from the tribunal du travail de Nivelles (Belgium) lodged on 29 May 2017 — OJ (\*) v Partena, Assurances Sociales pour Travailleurs Indépendants ASBL, Institut national d'assurances sociales pour travailleurs indépendants (Inasti), Union Nationale des Mutualités Libres (Partenamut) (UNMLibres)

> (Case C-321/17) (2017/C 382/33) Language of the case: French

## Referring court

Tribunal du travail de Nivelles

## Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: OJ (\*)

Information erased within the framework of the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data.