

First argument

Infringement of Article 263 TFEU.

Second argument

Calculation of the time-limit for bringing proceedings from the date of notification of the final decision on 20 July 2015.

B — Second Ground — Calculation of the time-limit for bringing proceedings from the date of publication of the contested decision in the Official Journal

First argument

The wording of Article 263(6) TFEU.

Second argument

The consistent practice of publishing such decisions and identical prior legal proceedings.

C — Third Ground — The General Court erred in law in so far as it did not favour an interpretation that did not result in lapse of the right to bring proceedings

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Oberlandesgericht Düsseldorf (Germany) lodged on 16 June 2016 — Hanssen Beleggingen BV v Tanja Prast-Knipping

(Case C-341/16)

(2016/C 326/24)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

Oberlandesgericht Düsseldorf

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Hanssen Beleggingen BV

Defendant: Tanja Prast-Knipping

Question referred

Does the notion of proceedings which are ‘concerned with the registration or validity of ... trade marks’, within the meaning of Article 22.4 of Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 of 22 December 2000 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters, ⁽¹⁾ also cover a claim, brought against the formal proprietor of a Benelux trade mark registered in the Benelux trade mark register, which seeks an order requiring that defendant to make a declaration to the Benelux trade mark office that she has no entitlement to the contested mark and that she waives registration as the proprietor of that mark?

⁽¹⁾ OJ 2001 L 12, p. 1.

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunal de première instance de Liège (Belgium) lodged on 22 June 2016 — Jean Jacob, Dominique Lennertz v Belgian State

(Case C-345/16)

(2016/C 326/25)

Language of the case: French

Referring court

Tribunal de première instance de Liège