

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

— Annul the contested decision.

Plea in law

— Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 4 May 2015 — Beele Engineering v OHIM (WE CARE)**(Case T-222/15)**

(2015/C 228/24)

*Language of the case: English***Parties**

Applicant: Beele Engineering BV (Aalten, Netherlands) (represented by: M. Ring, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM)

Details of the proceedings before OHIM

Trade mark at issue: Figurative mark containing the word elements 'WE CARE' — Application for registration No 12 610 275

Contested decision: Decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 11 February 2015 in Case R 1933/2014-1

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

— Annul the contested decision.

Plea in law

— Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 27 April 2015 — Morton's of Chicago v OHIM — Mortons the Restaurant (MORTON'S)**(Case T-223/15)**

(2015/C 228/25)

*Language in which the application was lodged: English***Parties**

Applicant: Morton's of Chicago, Inc. (Chicago, United States) (represented by: J. Moss, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Mortons the Restaurant Ltd (London, United Kingdom)

Details of the proceedings before OHIM

Proprietor of the trade mark at issue: The applicant

Trade mark at issue: Community figurative mark containing the word element 'MORTON'S' — Community trade mark registration No 3 951 291

Procedure before OHIM: Proceedings for a declaration of invalidity

Contested decision: Decision of the First Board of Appeal of OHIM of 12 February 2015 in Case R 46/2014-1

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order the Respondent to pay the Proprietor's costs.

Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 8(4) of Regulation No 207/2009;
- Infringement of Article 52(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 4 May 2015 — QuaMa Quality Management v OHIM — Microchip Technology (medialbo)

(Case T-225/15)

(2015/C 228/26)

Language in which the application was lodged: German

Parties

Applicant: QuaMa Quality Management GmbH (Glashütten, Germany) (represented by: C. Russ, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Microchip Technology, Inc. (Chandler, United States of America)

Details of the proceedings before OHIM

Applicant: Applicant

Trade mark at issue: Community word mark 'medialbo' — Application No 11 454 766

Procedure before OHIM: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 19 February 2015 in Joined Cases R 1809/2014-4 and R 1680/2014-4

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision.