EN

- in the alternative, annul Article 4 of the contested decision, in so far as it orders recovery of the alleged aid;
- annul Article 4 of the contested decision, in so far as it makes a determination as to the lawfulness of the private contracts between the investors and other entities; and
- order the Commission to pay the costs of these proceedings.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are those put forward in Case T-700/13 Bankia v Commission.

Action brought on 30 January 2014 — Bateaux mouches v OHIM (BATEAUX MOUCHES)

(Case T-72/14)

(2014/C 102/58)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Compagnie des bateaux mouches SA (Paris, France) (represented by G. Barbaut, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Form of order sought

- Declare the action admissible;
- Annul the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) of 15 November 2013 in Case R 284/2013-2;
- Vary the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) of 15 November 2013 in Case R 284/2013-2;
- Order the Court of First Instance of the European Communities to pay all the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

Community trade mark concerned: International registration designating the European Union of word mark 'BATEAUX MOUCHES' for services in Class 37 (No 1 092 478)

Decision of the Examiner: Rejection of the application

Decision of the Board of Appeal: Dismissal of the appeal

Pleas in law:

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009

- Incorrect finding that the disputed mark had not acquired by usage a distinctive character for the services covered