

Order of the President of the General Court of 18 December 2013 — Istituto Di Vigilanza Dell'Urbe SpA v European Commission

(Case T-579/13 R)

(Interim measures — Public services contracts — Tendering procedure — Provision of security guard and reception services at the 'European Union Houses' in Rome and Milan — Award of the contract to another tenderer — Application for suspension of operation — Disregard of the formal requirements — Inadmissibility)

(2014/C 39/35)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Istituto Di Vigilanza Dell'Urbe SpA (Rome, Italy) (represented by: D. Dodaro and S. Cianciullo, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: F. Moro and L. Cappelletti, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application seeking the suspension of the operation of the award decision, adopted on 27 August 2013 by the Commission, relating to a public service contract concerning security guard and reception services at the 'European Union Houses' in Rome and Milan (Italy).

Operative part of the order

1. *The application for interim measures is dismissed*
2. *The costs are reserved*

Action brought on 13 November 2013 — BSH Bosch und Siemens Hausgeräte v OHIM — LG Electronics (compressor technology)

(Case T-595/13)

(2014/C 39/36)

Language in which the application was lodged: German

Parties

Applicant: BSH Bosch und Siemens Hausgeräte GmbH (Munich, Germany) (represented by: S. Biagosch, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: LG Electronics, Inc. (Seoul, Korea)

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- Annul the decision of the First Board of Appeal of the Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) of 5 September 2013 (Case R 1176/2012-1);
- Order OHIM to bear its own costs and to pay the costs incurred by the applicant.

Pleas in law and main arguments

Applicant for a Community trade mark: the applicant

Community trade mark concerned: the figurative mark 'compressor technology' for goods in Classes 7, 9 and 11 — Community trade mark application No 7420151

Proprietor of the mark or sign cited in the opposition proceedings: LG Electronics, Inc.

Mark or sign cited in opposition: the word marks 'KOMPRESSOR PLUS' and 'KOMPRESSOR' for goods in Classes 7 and 11

Decision of the Opposition Division: the opposition was upheld in part

Decision of the Board of Appeal: the appeal was dismissed in part

Pleas in law: Infringement of Article 8(1)(a) and (b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009

Action brought on 15 November 2013 — Emsibeth v OHIM — Peek & Cloppenburg (Nael)

(Case T-596/13)

(2014/C 39/37)

Language in which the application was lodged: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Emsibeth SpA (Verona, Italy) (represented by: A. Arpaia, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

Other parties to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Peek & Cloppenburg KG (Düsseldorf, Germany)